

No. 82-1295

Office - Supreme Court, U.S.

FILED

IN THE

AUG 8 1983

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1982

CLERK

ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA, *et al.*,  
*Appellants,*

v.

HENRY T. McMILLAN, *et al.*,  
*Appellees.*

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES COURT OF  
APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

JOINT APPENDIX  
VOL. IV — Pages 919-1154

CHARLES S. RHYNE

*Counsel of Record*

J. LEE RANKIN

THOMAS D. SILVERSTEIN

Rhyne & Rankin

1000 Connecticut Ave., N.W.

Suite 800

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 466-5420

THOMAS R. SANTURRI

Escambia County Attorney

28 West Government Street

Pensacola, Florida 32501

(904) 436-5450

*Attorneys for Appellants*

EDWARD STILL

*Counsel of Record*

Reeves and Still

Suite 400

Commerce Center

2027 1st Avenue North

Birmingham, Alabama 35203

JAMES U. BLACKSHER

LARRY T. MENEFFEE

Blacksheer, Menefee & Stein,  
P.A.

4051 Van Antwerp Bldg.

P. O. Box 1051

Mobile, Alabama

JACK GREENBERG

NAPOLEON B. WILLIAMS

Legal Defense Fund

10 Columbus Circle

New York, New York 10019

KENT SPRIGGS

Spriggs & Henderson

117 South Martin Luther

King, Jr. Bldg.

Tallahassee, Florida 32301

*Attorneys for Appellees*

Appeal Docketed February 2, 1983  
Probable Jurisdiction Noted April 18, 1983

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## VOLUME I

	<u>Page</u>
Docket Entries .....	1
District Court .....	1
Court of Appeals .....	30
Complaint .....	45
Answer and Affirmative Defenses — Escambia County .....	52
Consolidation Order .....	59
Arnow, C. J. Letter to Counsel of Record .....	61
Pretrial Stipulation .....	64
Pretrial Order .....	77
Notice of Proposed County Charter .....	82
Excerpts of Trial Transcript .....	146
Testimony of Dr. Jerrell H. Shofner .....	146
Testimony of Dr. Glenn David Curry .....	229
Testimony of Charlie L. Taite .....	255
Testimony of Otha Leverette .....	271
Testimony of Dr. Donald Spence .....	280
Testimony of Billy Tennant .....	310

## VOLUME II

Testimony of Julian Banfell .....	325
Testimony of Orellia Benjamin Marshall .....	334
Testimony of F. L. Henderson .....	338
Testimony of Elmer Jenkins .....	341
Testimony of Nathaniel Dedmond .....	348
Testimony of James L. Brewer .....	357
Testimony of Cleveland McWilliams .....	361
Testimony of Earl J. Crosswright .....	363

Testimony of William H. Marshall .....	374
Testimony of Dr. Charles L. Cottrell .....	398
Testimony of James J. Reeves .....	436
Testimony of Hollice T. Williams .....	438
Testimony of Governor Reubin Askew .....	452
Testimony of Marvin G. Beck .....	470
Testimony of Kenneth J. Kelson .....	495
Testimony of Charles Deese, Jr. ....	507
Testimony of Jack Keeney .....	532
Testimony of A. J. Boland .....	549
Testimony of Laurence Green .....	560
Testimony of Dr. Manning J. Dauer .....	578
Colloquy Between the Court and Counsel .....	598

### VOLUME III

Plaintiffs' Exhibits .....	603
Exhibit 6   Demographic Tables — Pensacola Florida .....	603
Exhibit 8   Voter Registration, City of Pensacola .....	731
Exhibit 14  Excerpts — Computer Printouts Analyzing Voting Patterns for Selected Elections .....	733
Exhibit 16  Statistical Analysis of Racial Element in Escambia County, Pensacola City Elections ....	771
Exhibit 17  Neighborhood Analysis, Pensacola SMSA .....	799

### VOLUME IV

Exhibit 21  United Way of Escambia County, Inc. — Community Planning Division Composite Socio-Economic Index for the 40 Census Tracts .....	919
Exhibit 23  Excerpt — Statistical Profile of Pensacola and the SMSA .....	1006
Exhibit 25  Escambia County and Pensacola SMSA — Population Trends; Racial Composition; Population by Tract; Age Distribution .....	1016

Exhibit 32	Selected Deeds Conveying Property Located in Escambia County .....	1036
Exhibit 33	Votes Cast for all Candidates in Selected Precincts — September 1976 Primary .....	1047
Exhibit 55	Materials Relating to the City of Pensacola: Adoption of At-large Election System in 1959 ..	1052
Exhibit 66	County Boards and Committees .....	1106
Exhibit 70	Excerpt — 1976-77 Annual Budget of Escambia .....	1108
Exhibit 71	Summary Analysis (County Recreation) .....	1111
Exhibit 73	Transcript of Proceedings of Escambia Coun- ty Board of County Commission at August 31, 1977 Public Hearing .....	1131
Exhibit 80	1973-77 Escambia County, City of Pensacola EEO-4 Summary Job Classification and Salary Analysis .....	1142
Exhibit 92	Letter Appearing in the <i>Pensacola News Jour- nal</i> , August 23, 1959 .....	1152
Exhibit 95	Editorial Appearing in the <i>Pensacola Journal</i> , August 13, 1959 .....	1153

#### VOLUME V

Exhibit 98	Proposal of Charter Commission Appointed in 1975 .....	1155
Exhibit 99	Recommendations by Minority of Charter Commission Appointed in 1975 .....	1225
Exhibit 100	Proposal of Charter Commission Appointed in 1977 .....	1228



District Court Order Denying Stay of December 3, 1979 Remedial Order .....	1261
Excerpts of Trial of Testimony of Dr. Glenn David Curry .....	1267
Excerpts of Trial Testimony of Dr. Manning F. Dauer .....	1284

### NOTE

The following opinions, decisions, judgments, and orders have been omitted in printing the Joint Appendix because they appear in the Appendices to the Jurisdictional Statement as follows:

	<u>Page</u>
Decision on Rehearing of the Fifth Circuit in <i>McMillan v. Escambia County, Florida</i> , 688 F.2d 960 (5th Cir. 1982) .....	A-1a
Decision of the Fifth Circuit in <i>McMillan v.</i> <i>Escambia County, Florida</i> , 638 F.2d 1239 (5th Cir. 1981) .....	B-30a
Decision of the Fifth Circuit in <i>McMillan v.</i> <i>Escambia County, Florida</i> , 638 F.2d 1249 (5th Cir. 1981) .....	B-52a
Memorandum Decision and Order of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida in <i>McMillan v. Escambia County,</i> <i>Florida</i> , PCA No. 77-0432 (N.D. Fla. Dec. 3, 1979) .....	B-54a
Memorandum Decision of the United States District Court of the Northern District of Florida in <i>McMillan v. Escambia County, Florida</i> PCA No. 77-0432 (N.D. Fla., Sept. 24, 1979) .....	B-66a
Memorandum Decision and Judgment of the United States District Court of the Northern District of Florida in <i>McMillan v. Escambia County,</i> <i>Florida</i> , PCA No. 77-0432 (N.D. Fla. July 10, 1978) .....	B-71a

(v)

Judgment in *McMillan v. Escambia County*,  
*Florida*, 688 F.2d 960 (5th Cir. 1982).....C-116a

**EXHIBIT 21***UNITED WAY OF ESCAMBIA COUNTY, INC.  
COMMUNITY PLANNING DIVISION**COMPOSITE SOCIO-ECONOMIC INDEX FOR THE  
40 CENSUS TRACTS  
COMPRISING ESCAMBIA COUNTY  
(PHASE I OF YOUTH AGENCY STUDY PROJECT)*

In an effort to determine the areas of greatest social and economic need in Escambia County, each of the 40 census tracts making up the County were ranked in accordance with the following 13 indices:

- a. Total Population
  - b. Non-White Population
  - c. School Age Population
  - d. Percent of Homes Overcrowded <sup>(1)</sup>
  - e. Percent of Homes Dilapidated <sup>(1)</sup>
  - f. School Year Completed
  - g. Rate of Children Determined by the Juvenile Court to be in Need of Supervision <sup>(2)</sup>
  - h. Rate of Juvenile Delinquency <sup>(2)</sup>
  - i. Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with Dependent Children <sup>(3)</sup>
  - j. Percent of Homes Owner Occupied
  - k. Median Rent
  - l. Median value of Dwelling
  - n. Median Income
- <sup>(1)</sup> Based upon total dwellings within census tract.  
<sup>(2)</sup> Based upon rate per 100 school age population in each census tract.  
<sup>(3)</sup> Based upon percent of each census tract population.

Each of the 40 census tracts were ranked in order of ascendancy for indices "a" through "i". Thus, the census tract having the highest rate of juvenile delinquency was assigned the rank of "40" for that category of measurement. Similarly, the census tract having the fifth highest percent of cases on "Aid to Families with Dependent Children" was assigned the rank of "36" for that index. For indices "j" through "n" census tracts were ranked in descending order. For example, the census tract having the highest median income was ranked "1" and the one having lowest median income was ranked "40". Likewise, the census tract reflecting the 16th highest median value of a dwelling was given the rank of "16". The composite socio-economic index, shown on page 2, reflects the average of the 13 individual rankings for each census tract. It will be noted that Census Tract "6", which has a composite index of 33.85, has the highest degree of socio-economic need. Census Tract "25", which has a composite index of 6.04, has the lowest incidence of social and economic need.

Table I, page 3, shows how each census tract ranked in reference to the 13 indices used in arriving at a composite index. In viewing this data, keep in mind a high individual index ranking reflects an unfavorable situation.

Following Table I, are profile sheets for each of the 40 census tracts in Escambia County. These sheets show specific data for each census tract as compared to the median average for the County.

COMPOSITE SOCIO-ECONOMIC INDEX OF  
CENSUS TRACTS IN ESCAMBIA COUNTY,  
FLORIDA

(Ranked in descending order by degree of  
social-economic need)

RANK	CENSUS TRACT NO.	COMPOSITE INDEX
1	6	33.85
2	4	33.30
3	7	32.26
4	15	31.50
5	2	29.00
6	16	27.85
7	17	27.85
8	40	27.15
9	20	26.30
10	32	25.77
11	18	25.54
12	14	25.00
13	39	22.88
14	3	22.57
15	22	22.50
16	1	21.76
17	8	21.65
18	21	20.77
19	27	20.35
20	35	20.11
21	38	20.00
22	33	19.96
23	37	19.50
24	19	19.03
25	5	18.92
26	36	18.80
27	23	17.80

# RANK    CENSUS TRACT NO.    COMPOSITE INDEX

28	-----	34	-----	17.30
29	-----	30	-----	16.50
30	-----	29	-----	15.80
31	-----	13	-----	15.11
32	-----	28	-----	13.73
33	-----	31	-----	12.80
34	-----	12	-----	12.35
35	-----	24	-----	11.85
36	-----	11	-----	11.23
37	-----	26	-----	9.54
38	-----	10	-----	9.30
39	-----	9	-----	6.35
40	-----	25	-----	6.04

## INCIDENCE OF NEED

High — 33.85	Very Low	—	0.00 to 9.90
Median — 20.55	Low	—	10.00 to 16.90
Low — 6.04	Average	—	17.00 to 24.90
	High	—	25.00 to 29.90
	Very High	—	30.00 to 40.00

TABLE I COMPOSITE AND INDIVIDUAL RANKING OF CENSUS TRACTS IN  
 ESCAMBIA COUNTY BY VARIOUS INDICES OF SOCIAL-ECONOMIC NEED

CENSUS TRACT NO.	RANK IN ORDER OF NEED	COMPOSITE RANK	POPULATION	NON-WHITE POPULATION	SCHOOL AGE POPULATION	PCT. OF HOMES OVERCROWDED	PCT. OF HOMES DILAPIDATED	PCT. OF HOMES OWNER OCCUPIED	MEDIAN RENT	MEDIAN VALUE OF DWELLING	MEDIAN INCOME	SCHOOL YEAR COMPLETED	RATE OF CHILDREN IN NEED OF SUPERVISION(%)	RATE OF JUVENILE DELINQUENCY (%)
6	1	33.85	23	39	24	36	39	34	35	32.5	38	33.5	33	35
4	2	33.30	27	40	31	39	32	35	37	35	37	30	21	33
7	3	32.26	7	33	10	34	31	37	39	32.5	39	39	39	39
15	4	31.50	9	37	15	38	37	29	33	29.5	35	40	38	30
2	5	29.00	13	35	9	33	35	36	30	34	36	30	16	37
16	6	27.85	11	36	18	40	40	32	36	36	33	36	18	25
17	7	27.85	19	34	25	31	27	28	30	28	32	32	6	36
40	8	27.15	16	30	20	32	38	16	40	39	34	36	11	11
20	9	26.30	10	28	11	27	30	23	24.5	25	28	33.5	37	38
32	10	25.77	35	38	38	35	29	5	11	16.5	40	19	14	23
18	11	25.54	21	20	17	22	23	20	27	31	30	36	32	28
14	12	25.00	32	31	35	25	21	18	19	16.5	18.5	17.5	27	34


CENSUS TRACT NO.	RANK IN ORDER OF NEED	COMPOSITE RANK	POPULATION	NON-WHITE POPULATION	SCHOOL AGE POPULATION	PCT. OF HOMES OVERCROWDED	PCT. OF HOMES DILAPIDATED	PCT. OF HOMES OWNER OCCUPIED	MEDIAN RENT	MEDIAN VALUE OF DWELLING	MEDIAN INCOME	SCHOOL YEAR COMPLETED	RATE OF CHILDREN IN NEED OF SUPERVISION <sup>(1)</sup>	RATE OF JUVENILE DELINQUENCY <sup>(2)</sup>
39	13	22.88	12	21	16	30	36	9	38	38	26	30	14.5	8
3	14	22.57	18	24	12	19	19	25	24.5	23	25	27	24	31
22	15	22.50	28	17	29	24	10	33	30	20	27	13.5	23	24
1	16	21.76	1	13	1	26	28	39	9	24	31	24	40	40
8	17	21.65	25	29	13	14	14	24	23	15	21	17.5	35	27
21	18	20.77	29	8	26	9	13	30	16	22	22	20	36	19
27	19	20.35	15	26	14	20	26	21	8	29.5	29	26	13	26
35	20	20.11	40	27	39	21	24	7	20	10	20	15.5	9	9
38	21	20.00	5	19	8	29	34	29	32	37	24	38	25	2
33	22	19.96	36	7	36	15	20	6	26	18	14	21.5	30	12
37	23	19.50	14	32	19	36	33	11	34	26	17	25	2.5	2
19	24	19.03	6	1	5	17	16	26	21.5	27	23	18	34	22
5	25	18.92	3	25	3	28	25	27	28	5	6	7	28	32
36	26	18.80	39	18	40	16	22	4	20	12	11	21.5	7	6



CENSUS TRACT NO.	RANK IN ORDER OF NEED	COMPOSITE RANK	POPULATION	NON-WHITE POPULATION	SCHOOL AGE POPULATION	PCT. OF HOMES OVERCROWDED	PCT. OF HOMES DILAPIDATED	PCT. OF HOMES OWNER OCCUPIED	MEDIAN RENT	MEDIAN VALUE OF DWELLING	MEDIAN INCOME	SCHOOL YEAR COMPLETED	RATE OF CHILDREN IN NEED OF SUPERVISION <sup>(1)</sup>	RATE OF JUVENILE DELINQUENCY <sup>(2)</sup>
23	27	17.80	33	16	22	10	9	31	14.5	14	13	7	31	16
34	28	17.30	17	23	21	23	18	10	13	21	16	23	20	8
30	29	16.50	30	12	34	13	15	14	3	11	10	11.5	29	17
29	30	15.80	24	5	28	12	2	15	8	19	15	15.5	25	20
13	31	15.11	26	22	30	7	7	3	6.5	6	5	7	22	29
28	32	13.73	38	10	32	8	5	8	3	8	7	9.5	26	18
31	33	12.80	22	9	27	5	12	1	18	13	9	9.5	17	15
12	34	12.35	31	11	23	1	11	22	4	7	8	11.5	12	11
24	35	11.85	20	15	7	18	1	-	21.5	40	18.5	2.5	5	4
11	36	11.23	37	4	37	3	8	2	1	2	3	4	19	21
26	37	9.54	4	6	6	11	17	19	12	9	12	13.5	2.5	5
10	38	9.30	34	2.5	33	6	3	17	2	1	1	2.5	10	14
9	39	6.35	8	14	4	4	4	13	10	4	4	5	15	13
25	40	6.04	2	2.5	2	2	6	38	6.5	3	2	1	2.5	2

<sup>(1)</sup> Based upon school age population of Census Tract.

<sup>(2)</sup> Based on individual Census Tract population.



175 1/2

1878 Census of Pop.  
Census of 1878  
of the State of N.Y.  
at New York City  
Pop. Rep. 1878

UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

0.4 = 60 acres



# BOUNDARY SYMBOLS

## Census Tract Boundaries

- State
- County
- District
- Census Tract

Boundaries shown on this map

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 1 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	Wright
South	Main
East	Tarragona
West	Spring; Barcelona

Other identification:

Total Population 260      Non-White Population 107      School Age population 30      Composite In-  
dex of Social Need 21.76      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census Tracts by  
Highest Incidence of Social Need 16

928

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)  
  
Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

<i>Census Tract</i>	<i>Median Average for All Census Tracts</i>
<u>No. 1</u>	
<u>200.00</u>	<u>17.88</u>
<u>6</u>	<u>xxxxxxx</u>
<u>33.3</u>	<u>6.34</u>
<u>1</u>	<u>xxxxxxx</u>

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population

(Number of AFDC Cases)

*Census Tract*

4.61%

12

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

1.05%

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>

(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

2.5%

3

2.15%

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>

(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

10.1%

12

3.5%

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>

(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

22.7%

27

63.8%

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$125

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$8800

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$5000

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

11.5 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 2 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Cervantes</u>
South	<u>Pensacola Bay</u>
East	<u>"A" Street</u>
West	<u>Bayou Chico</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 3820      Non-White Population 1959      School Age population 893      Composite Index of Social Need 29.00      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census Tracts by Highest Incidence of Social Need 5

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000

School Age Population of Census Tract

(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per

1000 School Age Population of Census Tract

(Number of CINS Cases)

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 2

48.15

43

5.60

5

17.88

xxxxxxx

6.34

xxxxxxx

*Selected Social & Economic Indices**Census Tract**Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population

3.76%1.05%

(Number of AFDC Cases)

144XXXXXXXX

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>

4.7%2.15%

(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

312XXXXXXXX

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>

18.5%3.5%

(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

312XXXXXXXX

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>

35.8%63.8%

(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

605XXXXXXXX

Median Monthly Rent

\$70\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$7300\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$4811\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

9.7 yrs.11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 3 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Gadsden; Wright</u>
South	<u>Pensacola Bay</u>
East	<u>"A" Street</u>
West	<u>Bayou Chico</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 4659      Non-White Population 583      School Age population 1038      Com-  
posite Index of Social Need 22.57      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census  
Tracts by Highest Incidence of Social Need 14

932

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract      Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 3

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

<u>27.94</u>	<u>17.88</u>
<u>29</u>	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

<u>7.71</u>	<u>6.34</u>
<u>8</u>	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>



*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

1.22%

1.05%

56

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

2.1%

2.15%

37

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

2.9%

3.5%

52

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

60.3%

63.8%

1061

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$90

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$9100

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$7221

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

10.5 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 4 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Avery; Moreno</u>
South	<u>Wright</u>
East	<u>"A" and "F" Sts.</u>
West	<u>"P" Street</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 5932	Non-White Population 5508	School Age Population 1980	Composite
Index of Social Need 33.30	Median Average for County 20.55	Rank among 40 Census Tracts	
by Highest Incidence of Social Need 2			

934

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

<i>Census Tract</i>	<i>Median Average for All Census Tracts</i>
---------------------	---

No. 4

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

31.31

17.88

62

xxxxxxxx

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

6.57

6.34

13

xxxxxxxx

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population

4.53%

1.05%

(Number of AFDC Cases)

269

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>

10.6%

2.15%

(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

190

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>

16.5%

3.5%

(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

297

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>

41.3%

63.8%

(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

743

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$58

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$7200

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$4388

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

9.7 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 5 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Maxwell</u>
South	<u>Cervantes</u>
East	<u>Palafox</u>
West	<u>"A" Street; "F" Street</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 2203      Non-White Population 778      School Age population 512      Composite  
Index of Social Need 18.92      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census Tracts  
by Highest Incidence of Social Need 25

936

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract      Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 5

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

31.25

17.88

16

xxxxxxxx

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

11.72

6.34

6

xxxxxxxx

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

2.63%

58

1.05%

XXXXXXXX

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

3.0%

28

2.15%

XXXXXXXX

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

7.2%

68

3.5%

XXXXXXXX

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

59.8%

563

63.8%

XXXXXXXX

Median Monthly Rent

\$77

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$16,700

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$10,349

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

12.5 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 6 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Bobe Street</u>
South	<u>Wright; Barcelona</u>
East	<u>8th Avenue</u>
West	<u>Palafox Street</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 5116	Non-White Population 4896	School Age Population 1980	Composite	938
Index of Social Need 33.85	Median Average for County 20.55	Rank among 40 Census Tracts		
by Highest Incidence of Social Need 1				

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

<i>Census Tract</i>	<i>Median Average for</i>
	<i>All Census Tracts</i>

No. 6

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

37.67

17.88

55

xxxxxxxx

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

13.70

6.34

20

xxxxxxxx

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

4.73%

1.05%

242

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

8.7%

2.15%

163

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

32.6%

3.5%

610

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

43.7%

63.8%

818

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$63

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$7400

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$4248

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

9.2 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 7 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Gadsden; Belmont</u>
South	<u>Pensacola Bay</u>
East	<u>L &amp; N RR</u>
West	<u>Tarragona</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 3232	Non-White Population 1717	School Age population 961	Composite Rank among 40 Census Tracts	940
Index of Social Need 32.26	Median Average for County 20.55			
by Highest Incidence of Social Need 3				

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
 School Age Population of Census Tract  
 (Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
 1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
 (Number of CINS Cases)

<i>Census Tract</i>	<i>Median Average for All Census Tracts</i>
<u>No. 7</u>	
<u>59.31</u>	<u>17.88</u>
<u>57</u>	<u>xxxxxxx</u>
<u>20.81</u>	<u>6.34</u>
<u>20</u>	<u>xxxxxxx</u>



*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population

5.29%

1.05%

(Number of AFDC Cases)

171

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>

5.0%

2.15%

(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

59

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>

13.7%

3.5%

(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

160

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>

31.8%

63.8%

(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

372

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$49

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$7400

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$4117

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

8.8 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 8 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Baars</u>
South	<u>Belmont</u>
East	<u>17th Avenue</u>
West	<u>8th Avenue; 9th Avenue</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 5601      Non-White Population 1295      School Age population 1048      Composite  
Index of Social Need 21.65      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census Tracts  
by Highest Incidence of Social Need 17

942

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract      Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 8

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

25.76  
27

17.88  
xxxxxxxx

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

16.22  
17

6.34  
xxxxxxxx

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

1.30%  
73

1.05%  
xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

1.2%  
30

2.15%  
xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

1.7%  
42

3.5%  
xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

61.2%  
1475

63.8%  
xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$91

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$12,400

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$7,389

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

12.0 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 10 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Summit Blvd.</u>
South	<u>Escambia Bay</u>
East	<u>Escambia Bay</u>
West	<u>Bayou Texar</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 7029	Non-White Population 1	School Age population 2011	Composite
Index of Social Need 9.30	Median Average for County 20.55	Rank among 40 Census Tracts by	
Highest Incidence of Social Need 38			

944

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*  
*Median Average for*  
*All Census Tracts*

	<u>No. 10</u>	
Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000		
School Age Population of Census Tract	<u>11.44</u>	<u>17.88</u>
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)	<u>23</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>
Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per		
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract	<u>3.98</u>	<u>6.34</u>
(Number of CINS Cases)	<u>8</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>

*Selected Social & Economic Indices**Census Tract**Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population

0.09%1.05%

(Number of AFDC Cases)

6xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>

0.6%2.15%

(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

15xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>

0.2%3.5%

(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

5xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>

69.5%63.8%

(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

1624xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$172\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$23,200\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$12,671\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

12.9 yrs.11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 11 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Interstate Hwy. 10</u>
South	<u>Summit Blvd.</u>
East	<u>Escambia Bay</u>
West	<u>Lanier Dr; 9th Ave.; 17th Ave.</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 8302	Non-White Population 0	School Age population 248	Composite Rank among 40 Census Tracts	946
Index of Social Need 11.23	Median Average for County 20.55			
by Highest Incidence of Social Need 36				

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*      *Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 11

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

<u>11.44</u>	<u>17.88</u>
<u>23</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

<u>3.98</u>	<u>6.34</u>
<u>8</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population

0.09%

1.05%

(Number of AFDC Cases)

8

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>

0.3%

2.15%

(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

8

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>

0.8%

3.5%

(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

19

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>

83%

63.8%

(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

2006

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$173

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$19,000

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$11,709

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

12.8 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 12 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Interstate Hwy. 10</u>
South	<u>Royce; Carpenters Creek</u>
East	<u>Lanier; College Blvd.; 12th Ave.</u>
West	<u>Interstate Hwy. 10</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 6782      Non-White Population 0      School Age population 1395      Composite 948  
 Index of Social Need 12.35      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census Tracts  
 by Highest Incidence of Social Need 34

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract      Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 12

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
 School Age Population of Census Tract  
 (Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

9.32

17.88

13

xxxxxxxx

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
 1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
 (Number of CINS Cases)

4.30

6.34

6

xxxxxxxx



*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

0.23%

1.05%

14

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

0.3%

2.15%

6

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

1.0%

3.5%

21

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

62.5%

63.8%

1289

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$157

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$15,500

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$9,597

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

12.3 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

649

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 13 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Royce</u>
South	<u>Baars</u>
East	<u>Carpenters Creek; Texar Dr; 18th Avenue</u>
West	<u>Interstate Hwy. 110; State Highway 291; 8th Avenue</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 5854      Non-White Population 542      School Age population 1657      Com-  
posite Index of Social Need 15.11      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census  
Tracts by Highest Incidence of Social Need 31

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract      Median Average for  
No. 13      All Census Tracts*

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)  
  
Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

<u>No. 13</u>	
<u>27.16</u>	<u>17.88</u>
<u>45</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>
<u>6.64</u>	<u>6.34</u>
<u>11</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population

1.89%

1.05%

(Number of AFDC Cases)

111

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>

0.7%

2.15%

(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

12

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>

0.7%

3.5%

(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

13

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>

82.5%

63.8%

(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

1511

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$136

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$16,000

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$11,147

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

12.5 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 14 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Interstate Hwy. #10</u>
South	<u>Michigan Ave; Palafox Highway</u>
East	<u>Interstate #110</u>
West	<u>S.L. &amp; S.F. Rwy.</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 6809      Non-White Population 1487      School Age population 2142      Com-  
posite Index of Social Need 25.00      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census      952  
Tracts by Highest Incidence of Social Need 12

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract      Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

No. 14  
35.48  
76

17.88  
xxxxxxxx

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

9.80  
21

6.34  
xxxxxxxx

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population

3.04%

1.05%

(Number of AFDC Cases)

207

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>

2.4%

2.15%

(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

49

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>

3.9%

3.5%

(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

82

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>

68.7%

63.8%

(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

1430

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$103

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$12,300

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$7929

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

12 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 15 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Fairfield; State Highway 291</u>
South	<u>Bobe</u>
East	<u>8th Avenue, 9th Avenue</u>
West	<u>L &amp; N R R</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 3441      Non-White Population 3304      School Age population 1116      Composite Index of Social Need 3150      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census Tracts by Highest Incidence of Social Need 4

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract      Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 15

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

<u>27.78</u>	<u>17.88</u>
<u>31</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

<u>17.92</u>	<u>6.34</u>
<u>20</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

3.04%

1.05%

207

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

9.5%

2.15%

100

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

26.4%

3.5%

277

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

58.4%

63.8%

613

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$66

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$5000

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$5055

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

8.6 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 16 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	Pottery Plant Rd. (Fairfield Drive)
South	Bohe
East	L. & N RR
West	"P" Street

Other identification:

Total Population 3540      Non-White Population 2911      School Age population 1191      Com-  
posite Index of Social Need 2785      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census  
Tracts by Highest Incidence of Social Need 6

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract      Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 16

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

24.35

17.88

29

XXXXXXXX

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

5.88

6.34

7

XXXXXXXX



*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

4.38%  
155

1.05%  
xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

11.5%  
108

2.15%  
xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

32.9%  
310

3.5%  
xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

54.9%  
517

63.8%  
xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$62

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$7000

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$5263

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

9 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 17 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Michigan Avenue</u>
South	<u>Fairfield Drive</u>
East	<u>Palafox Highway</u>
West	<u>S.L.S.F. R.R.</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 4738      Non-White Population 1830      School Age population 1484      Com-  
posite Index of Social Need 27.85      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census  
Tracts by Highest Incidence of Social Need 7

958

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract      Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 17

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

43.80

17.88

65

xxxxxxxx

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

2.02

6.34

3

xxxxxxxx

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

3.90%  
185

1.05%  
xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

4.3%  
62

2.15%  
xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

9.6%  
138

3.5%  
xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

58.9%  
847

63.8%  
xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$70

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$8100

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$5370

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

9.5 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 18 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	Fairfield Drive
South	Avery
East	"P" Street
West	"W" Street

Other identification:

Total Population 4820	Non-White Population 484	School Age population 118	Composite Rank among 40 Census Tracts
Index of Social Need 25.54	Median Average for County 20.55		
by Highest Incidence of Social Need 11			

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

	<i>Census Tract</i>	<i>Median Average for All Census Tracts</i>
	<u>No. 18</u>	
Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000	<u>27.07</u>	<u>17.88</u>
School Age Population of Census Tract	<u>32</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)		
Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per	<u>12.69</u>	<u>6.34</u>
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract	<u>15</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>
(Number of CINS Cases)		

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

1.85%

1.05%

89

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

2.3%

2.15%

40

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

6.0%

3.5%

105

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

63.8%

63.8%

1125

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$87

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$7700

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$6460

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

9 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 19 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	Avery
South	Mobile Hwy; Gadsden
East	"P" St.
West	Kirk Street

Other identification:

Total Population 3037	Non-White Population 0	School Age population 661	Composite Rank among 40 Census Tracts	962
Index of Social Need 19.03	Median Average for County 20.55			
by Highest Incidence of Social Need 24				

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

<i>Census Tract</i>	<i>Median Average for All Census Tracts</i>
---------------------	---

No. 19

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

<u>21.18</u>	<u>17.88</u>
<u>14</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

<u>15.13</u>	<u>6.34</u>
<u>10</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

1.12%

1.05%

34

XXXXXXXX

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

2.0%

2.15%

24

XXXXXXXX

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

1.8%

3.5%

22

XXXXXXXX

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

60.0%

63.8%

718

XXXXXXXX

Median Monthly Rent

\$93

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$8200

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$7314

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

10.0 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 20 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Fairfield Drive</u>
South	<u>Avery; Kirk</u>
East	<u>"W" Street</u>
West	<u>Mobile Highway; State Highway #10</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 3471    Non-White Population 1137    School Age population 981    Com-  
posite Index of Social Need 26.30    Median Average for County 20.55    Rank among 40 Census  
Tracts by Highest Incidence of Social Need 9

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

	<i>Census Tract</i>	<i>Median Average for All Census Tracts</i>
	<u>No. 20</u>	
Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000	<u>50.97</u>	<u>17.88</u>
School Age Population of Census Tract	<u>50</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)		
Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per	<u>19.37</u>	<u>6.34</u>
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract	<u>19</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>
(Number of CINS Cases)		



*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

2.33%

1.05%

81

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

3.7%

2.15%

44

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

11.1%

3.5%

133

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

61.9%

63.8%

738

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$90

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$8700

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$6569

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

9.2 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 21 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Mobile Hwy. (State Hwy. #10A)</u>
South	<u>Jones Swamp; Bayou Chico</u>
East	<u>Bayou Chico</u>
West	<u>Warrington Rd., Corry Road</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 6720      Non-White Population 49      School Age population 1519      Composite  
Index of Social Need 20.77      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census Tracts  
by Highest Incidence of Social Need 18

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract      Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 21

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

16.46

17.88

25

xxxxxxxx

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

17.12

6.34

26

xxxxxxxx

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

1.20%  
81

1.05%  
xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

.8%  
21

2.15%  
xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

1.2%  
30

3.5%  
xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

57.5%  
1465

63.8%  
xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$109

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$10,900

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$7,364

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

11.8 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 22 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Gulf of Mexico</u>
South	<u>Gulf of Mexico</u>
East	<u>State Hwy. #291</u>
West	<u>Gulf of Mexico</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 6684      Non-White Population 326      School Age population 1649      Com-  
posite Index of Social Need 22.50      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census  
Tracts by Highest Incidence of Social Need 15

906

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract      Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 22

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

24.30

17.88

40

xxxxxxxx

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

7.29

6.34

12

xxxxxxxx

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

0.71%

1.05%

72

xxxxxxxx

6.1%

2.15%

117

xxxxxxxx

10.4%

3.5%

.99

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

81.7%

63.8%

1566

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

\$70

\$114.50

Median Monthly Rent

\$11,200

\$11,300

Median Value of Dwelling

\$6,935

\$7,723

Median Annual Income

12.2 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

Median School Year Completed

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 23 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Pensacola Bay</u>
South	<u>Gulf of Mexico</u>
East	<u>Escambia Bay</u>
West	<u>Barrancas Avenue</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 6861      Non-White Population 274      School Age population 1389      Com-  
posite Index of Social Need 17.80      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census  
Tracts by Highest Incidence of Social Need-27

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract      Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 23

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000

School Age Population of Census Tract

(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

13.68

19

17.88

xxxxxxx

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per

1000 School Age Population of Census Tract

(Number of CINS Cases)

12.24

17

6.34

xxxxxxx

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population

0.71%

1.05%

(Number of AFDC Cases)

49

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>

0.8%

2.15%

(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

25

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>

0.8%

3.5%

(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

22

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>

55.7%

63.8%

(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

1501

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$114

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$13,000

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$8,750

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

12.5 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 24 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Barrancas Avenue</u>
South	<u>Gulf of Mexico</u>
East	<u>Gulf of Mexico</u>
West	<u>Warrington Road</u>

Other identification: US Naval Air Station

Total Population 4816      Non-White Population 194      School Age population 766      Composite  
Index of Social Need 11.85      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census Tracts  
by Highest Incidence of Social Need 35

972

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

*Census Tract      Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 24

<u>1.31</u>	<u>17.88</u>
<u>1</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>

<u>1.31</u>	<u>6.34</u>
<u>1</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>



*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

-	1.05%
-	xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

2.0%	2.15%
9	xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

-	3.5%
-	xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

-	63.8%
-	.....

Median Monthly Rent

\$107	\$114.50
-------	----------

Median Value of Dwelling

-	\$11,300
---	----------

Median Annual Income

\$7929	\$7,723
--------	---------

Median School Year Completed

12.9 yrs.	11.8 yrs.
-----------	-----------

973

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 25 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Pensacola Bay</u>
South	<u>Gulf of Mexico</u>
East	<u>Gulf of Mexico</u>
West	<u>Gulf of Mexico</u>

Other identification: Pensacola Beach

Total Population 1005	Non-White Population 1	School Age population 161	Composite Rank among 40 Census Tracts by	974
Index of Social Need 6.04	Median Average for County 20.55			
Highest Incidence of Social Need 40				

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

<i>Census Tract</i>	<i>Median Average for All Census Tracts</i>
---------------------	---

No. 25

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

-	17.88
<u>None</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

-	6.34
<u>None</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population

0.29%

1.05%

(Number of AFDC Cases)

3

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>

0.3%

2.15%

(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

2

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>

0.6%

3.5%

(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

4

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>

25.2%

63.8%

(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

160

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$147

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$18,500

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$11,846

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

13 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 26 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Gulf of Mexico</u>
South	<u>Gulf of Mexico</u>
East	<u>State Highway 291</u>
West	<u>Gulf of Mexico</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 2547	Non-White Population 15	School Age population 68	Composite Rank among 40 Census Tracts by	976
Index of Social Need 9.54	Median Average for County 20.55			
Highest Incidence of Social Need 37				

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

<i>Census Tract</i>	<i>Median Average for All Census Tracts</i>
---------------------	---

No. 26

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

<u>1.45</u>	<u>17.88</u>
<u>1</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

<u>0</u>	<u>6.34</u>
<u>0</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>

*Selected Social & Economic Indices**Census Tract**Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population

0.75%1.05%

(Number of AFDC Cases)

19xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>

1.0%2.15%

(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

10xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>

2.1%3.5%

(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

21xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>

63.9%63.8%

(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

633xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$114\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$14,500\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$8,941\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

12.2 yrs.11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 27  
(ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Lillian Hwy.</u>
South	<u>Barrancas Ave.</u>
East	<u>State Hwy. 298 A</u>
West	<u>State Hwy. 291</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 3968	Non-White Population 905	School Age population 110	Composite
Index of Social Need 20.35	Median Average for County 20.55	Rank among 40 Census Tracts	
by Highest Incidence of Social Need 19			

978

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*                      *Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 27

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000

School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

<u>25.25</u>	<u>17.88</u>
<u>28</u>	<u>xxxxxxx</u>

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

<u>4.51</u>	<u>6.34</u>
<u>5</u>	<u>xxxxxxx</u>

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

0.35%

1.05%

14

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

2.1%

2.15%

29

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

8.9%

3.5%

121

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

62.5%

63.8%

850

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$93

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$8000

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$6542

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

11.0 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 28 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Fairfield; Jackson</u>
South	<u>Jones Swamp</u>
East	<u>61st Avenue, New Warrington Rd.</u>
West	<u>State Hwy. 298 A</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 8413	Non-White Population 60	School Age population 200	Composite Rank among 40 Census Tracts
Index of Social Need 13.73	Median Average for County 20.55		
by Highest Incidence of Social Need 32			

086

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*      *Median Average for All Census Tracts*

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

No. 28

15.43

17.88

31

xxxxxxxx

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

9.46

6.34

19

xxxxxxxx



*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

Median Monthly Rent

Median Value of Dwelling

Median Annual Income

Median School Year Completed

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

0.18%

15

0.7%

15

0.6%

13

77.7%

1610

\$136

\$15,200

\$9801

12.4 yrs.

1.05%

xxxxxxxx

2.15%

xxxxxxxx

3.5%

xxxxxxxx

63.8%

xxxxxxxx

\$114.50

\$11,300

\$7,723

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 29  
(ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Fairfield Drive</u>
South	<u>Jackson</u>
East	<u>Mobile Hwy; New Warrington Rd.</u>
West	<u>61st Street</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 5575	Non-White Population 9	School Age population 160	Composite
Index of Social Need 15.80	Median Average for County 20.55	Rank among 40 Census Tracts	
by Highest Incidence of Social Need 30			

982

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

	<i>Census Tract</i>	<i>Median Average for All Census Tracts</i>
	<u>No. 29</u>	
Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000		
School Age Population of Census Tract	<u>16.85</u>	<u>17.88</u>
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)	<u>27</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>
Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per		
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract	<u>8.11</u>	<u>6.34</u>
(Number of CINS Cases)	<u>13</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

0.84%  
47

1.05%  
xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

1.0%  
18

2.15%  
xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

0.2%  
3

3.5%  
xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

72.8%  
1260

63.8%  
xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$118

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$11,400

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$8,488

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

12.1 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 30 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Bellshead Brook</u>
South	<u>Fairfield Dr.</u>
East	<u>Bellshead Brook</u>
West	<u>Bayou Marcus</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 6753      Non-White Population 2113      School Age population 200      Com-  
posite Index of Social Need 16.50      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census  
Tracts by Highest Incidence of Social Need 29

984

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract      Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 30

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

13.72

17.88

29

xxxxxxxx

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

11.83

6.34

25

xxxxxxxx

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

0.69%

47

1.05%

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

1.1%

22

2.15%

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

1.7%

35

3.5%

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

75.3%

1513

63.8%

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$131

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$15,800

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$9,402

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

12.3 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 31 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Michigan Avenue</u>
South	<u>Bellshead Brook</u>
East	<u>S.L. &amp; S.F. R.R.</u>
West	<u>Bayou Marcus</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 4886      Non-White Population 54      School Age population 1577      Composite  
Index of Social Need 12.80      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census Tracts 26  
by Highest Incidence of Social Need 33

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract      Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 31

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

<u>12.68</u>	<u>17.88</u>
<u>20</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

<u>5.71</u>	<u>6.34</u>
<u>9</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>

*Selected Social & Economic Indices**Census Tract**Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population

0.25%1.05%

(Number of AFDC Cases)

12xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>

0.4%2.15%

(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

6xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>

1.0%3.5%

(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

14xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>

85.6%63.8%

(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

1151xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$162\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$13,300\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$9,405\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

12.4 yrs.11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 32 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Detroit Blvd.</u>
South	<u>Ark. Road; Michigan Avenue</u>
East	<u>S.L.S.F. R.R.</u>
West	<u>Pine Forest Road</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 7340      Non-White Population 3421      School Age Population 2616      Com-  
posite Index of Social Need 25.77      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census  
Tracts by Highest Incidence of Social Need 10

936

## *Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*      *Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 32

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

21.79

17.88

57

xxxxxxxx

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

4.59

6.34

12

xxxxxxxx



*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population

3.21%

1.05%

(Number of AFDC Cases)

236

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>

6.1%

2.15%

(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

117

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>

10.4%

3.5%

(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

199

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>

81.7%

63.8%

(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

1566

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$104

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$12,300

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$7,448

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

11.9 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 33 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>Interstate Hwy. #10</u>
South	<u>Lillian Hwy.</u>
East	<u>Arkansas Creek</u>
West	<u>Pine Forest Road</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 7841	Non-White Population 30	School Age population 2416	Composite Rank among 40 Census Tracts
Index of Social Need 19.96	Median Average for County 20.55		
by Highest Incidence of Social Need 22			

066

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

<i>Census Tract</i>	<i>Median Average for All Census Tracts</i>
---------------------	---

No. 33

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

<u>9.52</u>	<u>17.88</u>
<u>23</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

<u>12.00</u>	<u>6.34</u>
<u>29</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population

0.93%

1.05%

(Number of AFDC Cases)

73

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>

1.6%

2.15%

(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

37

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>

3.0%

3.5%

(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

72

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>

79.2%

63.8%

(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

1880

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$119

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$11,900

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$8,589

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

11.7 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 34 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	State Hwy. #10
South	Detroit Blvd.
East	L. & N.RR
West	Interstate Hwy. #10

Other identification:

Total Population 4489	Non-White Population 554	School Age population 1310	Com- posite Index of Social Need 17.30	Median Average for County 20.55	Rank among 40 Census Tracts by Highest Incidence of Social Need 28
-----------------------	--------------------------	----------------------------	---	---------------------------------	---

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

<i>Census Tract</i>	<i>Median Average for All Census Tracts</i>
---------------------	---

No. 34

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

4.46

17.88

20

xxxxxxxx

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

6.11

6.34

8

xxxxxxxx

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population

0.56%

1.05%

(Number of AFDC Cases)

25

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>

2.3%

2.15%

(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

32

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>

2.7%

3.5%

(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

38

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>

76.7%

63.8%

(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

1069

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$89

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$11,100

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$8,165

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

11.6 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 35 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	State Hwy. #10
South	Detroit Blvd.
East	Gulf of Mexico
West	L & N R R

Other identification:

Total Population 10,121      Non-White Population 1108      School Age population 2658      Composite Index of Social Need 20.11      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census Tracts by Highest Incidence of Social Need 20

994

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract      Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 35

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

4.89

17.88

13

xxxxxxxx

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

3.39

6.34

9

xxxxxxxx

*Selected Social & Economic Indices**Census Tract**Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population

(Number of AFDC Cases)

1.07%

109

1.05%

XXXXXXXX

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>

(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

2.2%

58

2.15%

XXXXXXXX

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>

(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

7.1%

188

3.5%

XXXXXXXX

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>

(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

78.1%

2058

63.8%

XXXXXXXX

Median Monthly Rent

\$115

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$14,300

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$7,924

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

12.1 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 36 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	State Highway #196
South	Eleven Mile Creek
East	Escambia River
West	Perdido River

Other identification:

Total Population 9466      Non-White Population 419      School Age population 2843      Com-  
posite Index of Social Need 18.80      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census      966  
Tracts by Highest Incidence of Social Need 26

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

	<i>Census Tract</i>	<i>Median Average for All Census Tracts</i>
	<u>No. 36</u>	
Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000		
School Age Population of Census Tract	<u>2.46</u>	<u>17.88</u>
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)	<u>7</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>
Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per		
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract	<u>2.81</u>	<u>6.34</u>
(Number of CINS Cases)	<u>8</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>



*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

2.40%

1.05%

228

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

1.9%

2.15%

51

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

4.5%

3.5%

118

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

81.8%

63.8%

2151

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$101

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$13,600

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$9,239

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

11.7 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 37 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	<u>De Galvez Rd.</u>
South	<u>Kingsfield Rd.</u>
East	<u>State Highway 95</u>
West	<u>State Highway 97</u>

Other identification:

Total Population 3967      Non-White Population 1419      School Age population 1291      Com-  
posite Index of Social Need 19.50      Median Average for County 20.55      Rank among 40 Census  
Tracts by Highest Incidence of Social Need 23

866

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract      Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 37

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)  
  
Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

<u>0.0</u>	<u>17.88</u>
<u>0.0</u>	<u>xxxxxxx</u>
<u>0.0</u>	<u>6.34</u>
<u>0.0</u>	<u>xxxxxxx</u>

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population

0.07%

1.05%

(Number of AFDC Cases)

3

XXXXXXXX

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>

7.1%

2.15%

(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

75

XXXXXXXX

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>

17.3%

3.5%

(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

184

XXXXXXXX

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>

76.7%

63.8%

(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

815

XXXXXXXX

Median Monthly Rent

\$64

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$8300

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$8089

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

11.3 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 38 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North     Escambia County (Fla.); Escambia County  
            (Ala.)

South     State Hwy. 196

East       State Hwy. 97

West       Escambia County Baldwin County, Ala.

Other identification:

Total Population 2879	Non-White Population 458	School Age population 861	Composite	1000
Index of Social Need 20.00	Median Average for County 20.55	Rank among 40 Census Tracts		
by Highest Incidence of Social Need 21				

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

	<i>Census Tract</i>	<i>Median Average for All Census Tracts</i>
	<u>No. 38</u>	
Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000		
School Age Population of Census Tract	<u>0.0</u>	<u>17.88</u>
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)	<u>0.0</u>	<u>xxxxxxx</u>
Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per		
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract	<u>0.0</u>	<u>6.34</u>
(Number of CINS Cases)	<u>0.0</u>	<u>xxxxxxx</u>

*Selected Social & Economic Indices**Census Tract**Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population

-1.05%

(Number of AFDC Cases)

-xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>

3.2%2.15%

(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

29xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>

18.2%3.5%

(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

167xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>

76.5%63.8%

(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

702xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$67\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$6700\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$7292\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

8.9 yrs.11.8 yrs.

1001

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 39 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	Escambia County (Fla.); Escambia County (Ala.)
South	State Hwy. #184
East	Escambia County Line
West	State Highway #97

Other identification:

Total Population 3814	Non-White Population 537	School Age population 1170	Composite Index of Social Need 22.88	Median Average for County 20.55	Rank among 40 Census Tracts by Highest Incidence of Social Need 13
-----------------------	--------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------------------	---------------------------------	--

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

No. 39

Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000  
School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)

4.27

17.88

5

xxxxxxx

Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per  
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract  
(Number of CINS Cases)

4.27

6.34

5

xxxxxxx

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

0.96%

1.05%

37

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

3.4%

2.15%

41

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

21.4%

3.5%

258

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

77.1%

63.8%

931

xxxxxxxx

Median Monthly Rent

\$55

\$114.50

Median Value of Dwelling

\$6100

\$11,300

Median Annual Income

\$7167

\$7,723

Median School Year Completed

9.7 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR CENSUS TRACT 40 (ESCAMBIA COUNTY)

Boundary Description: (Key streets listed below)

North	Escambia County (Fla.); Escambia County (Ala.)
South	Canoe Creek
East	Escambia County Line
West	Canoe Creek

Other identification:

Total Population 4201	Non-White Population 1484	School Age population 1300	Composite Index of Social Need 27.15	Median Average for County 20.55	Rank among 40 Census Tracts by Highest Incidence of Social Need 8
-----------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------------------	---------------------------------	---

1004

*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

	<i>Census Tract</i>	<i>Median Average for All Census Tracts</i>
	<u>No. 40</u>	
Juvenile Delinquency Rates per 1000		
School Age Population of Census Tract	<u>8.46</u>	<u>17.88</u>
(Number of Juvenile Delinquency Cases)	<u>11</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>
Children in Need of Supervision Rate Per		
1000 School Age Population of Census Tract	<u>3.08</u>	<u>6.34</u>
(Number of CINS Cases)	<u>4</u>	<u>xxxxxxxx</u>



*Selected Social & Economic Indices*

*Census Tract*

*Median Average for  
All Census Tracts*

Percent of Cases on Aid to Families with  
Dependent Children by Census  
Tract Population  
(Number of AFDC Cases)

2.66%

1.05%

112

xxxxxxxx

4.4%

2.15%

59

xxxxxxxx

31.9%

3.5%

427

xxxxxxxx

Percent of Homes Overcrowded<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Overcrowded)

Percent of Homes Dilapidated<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Dilapidated)

Percent of Homes Owner Occupied<sup>1</sup>  
(Number of Homes Owner Occupied)

70.1%

63.8%

939

xxxxxxxx

\$45

\$114.50

\$58

Median Monthly Rent

\$5000

\$11,300

Median Value of Dwelling

\$5091

\$7,723

Median Annual Income

9 yrs.

11.8 yrs.

Median School Year Completed

<sup>1</sup>Percent based on total dwellings in Census Tract.

**EXHIBIT 23 Excerpt****STATISTICAL PROFILE OF  
PENSACOLA AND THE SMSA****JULY, 1974****PENSACOLA, FLORIDA****I. GENERAL**

Pensacola is the central city of the Pensacola Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area. An SMSA, in general, is a county or a set of contiguous counties with one or more "central" cities of 50,000 or more inhabitants. The City of Pensacola includes 24.1 square miles within its corporate limits.

**II. POPULATION**

In April, 1970, there were 59,571 persons living in Pensacola. This number reflects the population enumerated during the 1970 census of population and housing. The City's population represented 24.5 percent of the total population of 243,075 in the SMSA.

**Appendix A**  
**Age Distribution**  
**of the Population for the City and SMSA (as of 4-1-1970)**

	The City		The SMSA	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
<b>All Races</b>				
Total .....	59,571	100.0	243,075	100.0
Under 18 Years .....	20,649	34.7	88,117	36.3
Under 6 Years .....	5,839	9.8	26,153	10.8
6 to 13 Years .....	9,882	16.6	42,038	17.3
14 to 17 Years .....	4,928	8.3	19,926	8.2
18 Years and Over .....	38,922	65.3	154,958	63.7
18 to 20 Years .....	3,094	5.2	15,932	6.6
21 Years and Over ....	35,828	60.1	139,026	57.2
21 to 24 Years .....	3,891	6.5	21,850	9.0
25 to 34 Years .....	6,860	11.5	31,343	12.9
35 to 44 Years .....	6,594	11.1	27,408	11.3
45 to 54 Years .....	7,019	11.8	25,056	10.3
55 to 64 Years .....	5,747	9.6	18,004	7.4
65 Years and Over ..	5,717	9.6	15,365	6.3
Median Age .....	28.1	—	24.2	—
	The City		The SMSA	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
<b>White</b>				
Total .....	39,648	100.0	198,425	100.0
Under 18 Years .....	12,271	30.9	67,726	34.1
Under 6 Years .....	3,616	9.1	20,435	10.3
6 to 13 Years .....	5,597	14.1	31,937	16.1
14 to 17 Years .....	3,058	7.7	15,354	7.7
18 Years and Over .....	27,377	69.1	130,699	65.9
18 to 20 Years .....	2,022	5.1	13,343	6.7
21 Years and Over ....	25,355	64.0	117,356	59.1
21 to 24 Years .....	2,947	7.4	19,553	9.9
25 to 34 Years .....	4,819	12.2	26,589	13.4
35 to 44 Years .....	4,505	11.4	22,605	11.4
45 to 54 Years .....	4,940	12.5	21,040	10.6
55 to 64 Years .....	4,009	10.1	14,917	7.5
65 Years and Over ..	4,135	10.4	12,652	6.4
Median Age .....	30.4	—	24.7	—

	The City		The SMSA	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Negro				
Total .....	19,674	100.0	42,309	100.0
Under 18 Years .....	8,272	42.0	19,506	46.1
Under 6 Years .....	2,195	11.2	5,308	12.5
6 to 13 Years .....	4,239	21.5	9,747	23.0
14 to 17 Years .....	1,838	9.3	4,451	10.5
18 Years and Over .....	11,402	58.0	22,803	53.9
18 to 20 Years .....	1,057	5.4	2,472	5.8
21 Years and Over ....	10,345	52.6	20,331	48.1
21 to 24 Years .....	911	4.6	2,031	4.8
25 to 34 Years .....	2,030	10.3	4,224	10.0
35 to 44 Years .....	2,047	10.4	4,464	10.6
45 to 54 Years .....	2,056	10.5	3,915	9.3
55 to 64 Years .....	1,733	8.8	3,048	7.2
65 Years and Over ..	1,568	8.0	2,649	6.3
Median Age .....	23.3	—	20.0	—

### III. HOUSING

#### Type of Structure

In Pensacola, 83.0 percent of the 19,326 occupied housing units were single unit structures, compared to 83.2 percent for the SMSA as a whole.

Another, 8.7 percent of the occupied housing units were in 2-unit, 3-unit, or 4-unit structures, while 7.8 percent were in apartment buildings with five or more units. Mobile homes and trailers accounted for 0.5 percent of the occupied housing units in the City.

Of the 1,721 vacant year-round housing units in Pensacola, 59.3 percent were single unit structures, 18.3 percent were in 2-unit, 3-unit, or 4-unit structures, and 22.4 percent were in apartment buildings with five units or more.

### Year Structure Built

Structures built in 1960 or later number 4,588, or 23.7 percent of the City's occupied housing units. The comparable SMSA figure was 34.78 percent. Housing units built before 1940 represented 36.1 percent of the City's occupied units. The comparable figure for the SMSA was 18.67 percent.

### Year Structure Built for Occupied Units For the City and SMSA

	City		SMSA	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Occupied Units .....	19,326	100.0	71,233	100.0
1965 to March 1970 .....	2,330	12.1	13,240	18.6
1960 to 1964 .....	2,258	11.7	11,494	16.1
1950 to 1959 .....	4,210	21.8	20,806	29.2
1940 to 1949 .....	3,549	18.4	12,442	17.5
1939 or Earlier .....	6,979	36.1	13,251	18.6

### Value of Owner Occupied Units

The median value of specific owner occupied housing units in the City was \$4,451, as compared to \$12,393 for the SMSA.

### Value of Specified Owner Occupied Units for the City and the SMSA

	City		SMSA	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Specified Owner Occupied ..	11,836	100.0	42,981	100.0
Less than \$5,000 .....	929	7.8	4,442	10.3
\$5,000 to \$7,499 .....	1,277	10.8	5,481	12.8
\$7,500 to \$9,999 .....	1,234	10.4	5,741	13.4
\$10,000 to \$14,999 .....	2,784	23.5	12,171	28.3
\$15,000 to 19,999 .....	2,282	19.3	7,118	16.8
\$20,000 to \$24,999 .....	1,419	12.0	3,532	8.2
\$25,000 to \$34,999 .....	1,183	10.0	2,887	6.7
\$35,000 to \$49,999 .....	531	4.5	1,161	2.7
\$50,000 or more .....	197	1.7	448	1.0
Median Value .....	14,451	—	12,393	—

### Gross Rent of Renter Occupied Units

The median gross rent of specified renter occupied housing units in the city was \$77. Gross rent is the monthly rent plus the average cost of utilities and fuel. For the SMSA as a whole, the median gross rent was \$94.

### Gross Rent of Specified Renter Occupied Units, City and SMSA

	City		SMSA	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Specified Renter Occupied ..	6,147	100.0	17,978	100.0
Less than \$60 .....	1,817	29.6	3,631	20.2
\$60 to \$79 .....	1,446	23.5	3,280	18.2
\$80 to \$99 .....	847	13.8	3,036	16.9
100 to \$149 .....	875	14.2	4,571	25.4
\$150 to \$199 .....	908	14.8	2,721	15.1
\$200 to \$299 .....	242	3.9	706	3.9
\$300 or More .....	12	0.2	33	0.2
Median Gross Rent .....	77	—	94	—

**Tenure & Vacancy Status of Housing Units,  
City & SMSA**

All Housing Units .....	City -21,055	SMSA - 77,292
All Year-Round Housing Units ..	21,047	77,164
All Occupied Units .....	19,326	71,233
Owner-Occupied .....	12,642	50,457
Percent of All Occupied .....	65.4	70.8
Renter Occupied .....	6,684	20,776
Vacant - For Sale or Rent .....	1,192	3,720
Other Vacant .....	529	2,211

Negroes occupied 5,660 of the housing units in the City, about 50.9 percent of the housing units in which negroes lived were owner-occupied and 49.1 percent were renter-occupied.

# NUMBER OF BUILDING PERMITS ISSUED BY MONTH AND YEAR

Year	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	Sept.	October	Nov.	Dec.	Totals Yr.
1974	129	120	146	100	169	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	664
1973	64	106	162	137	188	124	146	112	78	115	99	64	1,395
1972	126	124	160	104	120	105	92	100	108	116	87	78	1,320
1971	88	91	153	138	107	149	162	116	93	109	91	75	1,372
1970	87	87	116	103	95	85	79	70	102	102	56	97	1,079
1969	75	101	98	127	119	107	78	93	83	112	67	66	1,126
1968	59	86	95	93	118	91	110	89	85	73	64	57	1,020
1967	40	54	86	79	73	84	74	91	105	108	87	64	945
1966	33	24	39	18	43	58	62	72	60	55	49	37	550
1965	32	34	49	37	51	62	44	24	34	24	34	28	453
1964	31	51	50	40	31	32	56	21	47	22	21	50	452
1963	—	44	35	38	53	18	60	40	32	48	27	37	432
Tot. 12 Yrs.	764	922	1,189	1,014	1,167	915	963	828	827	884	682	653	10,808



## IV. INCOME

Of the 15,109 families in Pensacola, 2,581, or 17.1 percent, had 1969 incomes which were below the low-income (poverty) level. For the SMSA, 15.5 percent of all families were below the low-income level. Families with a woman as head comprised 51.1 percent of the low-income families in Pensacola.

There was a total of 12,981 persons below the low-income level in the City in 1969. Of these, 8,919 or 68.7 percent were negroes. Persons below the low-income level represented 21.9 percent of all persons in the City, compared to 18.7 percent for the SMSA. In the City, 82.0 percent of these persons were members of families, while the remainder were unrelated individuals. Children under 18 years of age represented 43.7 percent of all persons below the low-income level, while persons 65 years and over comprised 14.7 percent of the City's low-income population. For the SMSA, the comparable figures were 44.4 percent and 12.7 percent, respectively.

Persons Below the Low-Income Level in 1969,  
by Family Status  
For the City and the SMSA

	City		SMSA	
	Number Below Low-Inc. Level	Percent Below Low-Inc. Level	Number Below Low-Inc. Level	Percent Below Low-Inc. Level
All Persons .....	12,981	21.9	43,543	18.7
65 Years & Over .....	1,906	34.0	5,530	36.9
In Families .....	10,640	19.7	37,530	17.1
Family Heads .....	2,581	17.1	9,409	15.5
Male .....	1,261	10.2	5,841	11.0
Female .....	1,320	47.3	3,568	46.3
Related Chn. Under 18 .	5,671	27.7	19,339	22.2
Other Family Members .	2,388	13.0	8,782	12.3
Unrelated Individuals ....	2,341	44.6	6,013	43.9

The median income in 1969 of families in Pensacola was \$8,305. This means that one-half of the families in the City had incomes below this value and one-half had incomes above this value. The comparable figure for the SMSA was \$7,971. The median income for negro families in the City was \$4,508.

Families with income less than \$5,000 were 28.6 percent of all families in the City, while families with income of \$15,000 or more constituted 1.7 percent of the families. Thus, 54.7 percent of the families had incomes between \$5,000 and \$15,000. The table below shows comparable data for Pensacola and the SMSA as a whole.

#### Distribution of Family Income in 1969

	City		SMSA	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All Families .....	15,109	100.0	60,658	100.0
Less than \$3,000 .....	2,349	15.5	8,368	13.8
\$3,000 to \$4,999 .....	1,970	13.0	7,855	12.9
\$5,000 to \$6,999 .....	1,963	13.0	9,551	15.7
\$7,000 to \$9,999 .....	2,866	19.0	13,762	22.7
\$10,000 to \$14,999 .....	3,439	22.8	13,776	22.7
\$15,000 to \$24,999 .....	1,957	13.0	6,010	9.9
\$25,000 or More .....	565	3.7	1,336	2.2
Median Income .....	8,305	—	7,971	—

The median income for unrelated individuals in the City was \$2,113 as compared to \$2,048 for all unrelated individuals in the SMSA.

On a per capita income basis, every man, woman and child in the City averaged \$2,759. The per capita income throughout the SMSA was \$2,525.

## V. MAJOR INDUSTRIES

In Pensacola there were more persons employed in professional and related services than in any other industrial category. The second and third largest industries were wholesale and retail trade and manufacturing, respectively. The complete list of major industrial groups is shown below.

### Industry of the Employed, for City and SMSA

	City		SMSA	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total Employed .....	20,810	100.0	75,319	100.0
Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing .....	243	1.2	1,438	1.9
Mining .....	27	0.1	124	0.2
Construction .....	1,440	6.9	6,395	8.5
Manufacturing .....	2,417	11.6	13,626	18.1
Durable Goods .....	689	3.3	3,119	4.1
Nondurable Goods .....	1,728	8.3	10,507	13.9

**PLAINTIFF EXHIBIT #25**



# **Population**

**Population Trends  
Racial Composition  
Population by Tract  
Age Distribution,**

## POPULATION

The 1970 population of Northwest Florida represented 5.1 percent of the total population of Florida. From 1960 to 1970, regional population grew more rapidly than that of the United States or the Southeast in general. However, the population growth rate has had its advantages in that many communities in South Florida have experienced serious problems because of the rapid growth rate. Northwest Florida has experienced a gradual, but consistent growth rate, which allows for proper planning.

The greatest population of this region, which includes Escambia, Santa Rosa, Okaloosa and Walton counties, is concentrated in Escambia County. Of the five regional communities with a population of 10,000 or more, four are located in Escambia County.

Unlike other parts of the State that have, or are becoming increasingly hesitant in regard to residential and industrial development both because of population density problems and soil limitations, the Pensacola Metropolitan Area has few density constraints, and even fewer soil limitations.

If past trends show a population mix that is not characteristic of mature economic and social growth, projected trends indicate a more positive growth pattern. Projections based strictly upon past trends (which may be appropriate in some cases) indicate a conservative trend or growth at a relatively low rate during the sixties. However, there are a number of barometers and indications that the Pensacola Metro Area will experience a more rapid growth rate during the next decade. The addition of several

million square feet of retail shopping space is indicative, at least, of changes in population dispersion patterns. A number of large residential developments are appearing throughout the Pensacola area, and represent substantial investments. The Jay oil field phenomenon has contributed both population and disposable income to the Pensacola economy. Tourism, once only present in South Florida, is fast becoming one of our largest industries. The gulf beaches along Northwest Florida are attracting tourists at an ever increasing rate.

The Pensacola Metro Area is characterized by youth. The medium age is 24.3 years, as compared to 32.3 years for the State of Florida. On one hand the Region is expected to increase its share of retirees and, on the other, decrease the proportions of its population under five years. There is no single reason for this virtually universal phenomenon (except maybe "zero population growth"). However, the fact that the mature labor force will remain within the Region in response to employment and residential opportunities is an indication of a healthy labor market. The fact that the percentage of our population over age sixty-five is increasing reflects the region's increasing attraction for retirees, and its diminished pattern of out-migration.

Approximately 25 percent of the Pensacola Metro Area population was classified Rural according to the 1970 census. The population of Rural areas has fallen steadily since 1960. Between 1960 and 1970 the area has experienced a 40 percent increase in Urban residents.

Although the City of Pensacola has a population of approximately 60,000 within the city limits, there is a population of over 175,000 residents within a 10-mile radius.

## POPULATION TRENDS

Following are actual population figures for 1930, 1940, 1950, 1960 and 1970, estimates for 1975; and projections for 1980 and 1985. Population estimates and projections may vary slightly according to the agency making the estimate or projection. Although figures may vary, all planners foresee a healthy population growth rate for the Pensacola Metropolitan Area.

Year	City of Pensacola	Escambia County	Santa Rosa County	Pensacola SMSA
1930	31,305	53,594	17,083	70,677
1940	37,449	74,594	16,085	90,752
1950	43,479	112,706	18,554	131,260
1960	56,752	173,829	29,547	203,376
1970	59,507	205,334	37,741	243,075
1975*	61,008	241,734	52,438	294,172
1980**	62,547	269,508	57,895	327,403
1985**	64,124	320,088	65,814	385,902

\*Estimate      \*\*Projection

SOURCE: United States and Florida Departments of Commerce

Population Composition:	City of Pensacola	Escambia County	Santa Rosa County	Pensacola SMSA
Total Population	59,707	205,334	37,741	243,075
Non-White Population	19,968	42,320	2,249	44,569
Percent of Non-Whites	33.6%	20.6%	6.0%	18.4%

SOURCE: 1970 U.S. Census



**Population by Age Group: 1970**

	<b>Under 18</b>	<b>18-44</b>	<b>45-64</b>	<b>Over 65</b>
Escambia County	74,104	81,119	36,911	13,200
Santa Rosa County	13,859	15,566	6,030	2,286
PENSACOLA SMSA	87,963	96,685	42,941	15,486
State of Florida	2,112,275	2,224,662	1,466,816	985,690

(Source: Florida Dept. of Commerce)

**Population by Sex: 1970**

	<b>MALE</b>	<b>% of Total Population</b>	<b>FEMALE</b>	<b>% of Total Population</b>
Escambia County	102,348	49.8%	102,986	50.2%
Santa Rosa County	19,362	51.3%	18,379	48.7%
PENSACOLA SMSA	121,710	50.0%	121,365	50.0%
State of Florida	3,274,895	48.2%	3,514,548	51.8%

(Source: Florida Dept. of Commerce)

**Population by Race: 1970**

	White	% White	Negro	% Negro	Other	% Other
Escambia County	162,993	79.4%	40,384	19.7%	1,957	0.9%
Santa Rosa County	35,317	93.6%	2,157	5.7%	267	0.7%
PENSACOLA SMSA	198,310	81.5%	42,541	17.5%	2,224	0.9%
State of Florida	5,711,411	84.1%	1,049,578	15.5%	28,454	0.4%

(Source: Florida Dept. of Commerce)

**Population Density:**

	1970 Population	Land Area in Square Miles	Population Per Square Mile
Escambia County	205,334	657	325.7
Santa Rosa County	37,741	1,024	38.5
Pensacola SMSA	243,075	1,681	182.1

(Source: Florida Dept. of Commerce)

This chart shows the population and percentages of changes for the Pensacola Metro Area, the Southeast, the State of Florida, and the United States, between the 1960 and 1970 census:

	1960	1970	Percent of Change
Pensacola Metro Area .....	203,379	243,075	19.5%
State of Florida .....	4,951,560	6,789,443	37.1%
Southeast .....	24,845,395	28,636,357	15.3%
United States .....	179,32-	203,16-	
	5,369	5,699	13.3%

Source: U.S. Bureau of Census — 1970

Escambia County is the most populous of the regional counties which include Escambia, Santa Rosa, Okaloosa and Walton. The following chart shows population increases, and percentages of increases between 1960 and 1970:

	1960	1970	Percent of Change
Escambia County .....	173,829	205,334	18.1%
Santa Rosa County .....	29,547	37,741	27.7%
Okaloosa County .....	61,175	88,187	44.2%
Walton County .....	15,576	16,078	3.3%

Source: U.S. Bureau of Census — 1970

<b>Population by Sex and Race</b>	<b>Pensacola SMSA</b>	<b>Florida</b>	<b>South</b>	<b>United States</b>
Male .....	50.2%	49.2%	48.4%	48.7%
Female .....	49.8%	51.8%	51.6%	51.3%
White .....	81.6%	84.1%	76.6%	87.4%
Nonwhite .....	18.4%	15.9%	23.4%	12.6%

Source: U.S. Bureau of Census — 1970

#### **Population Change and Net Migration: 1960 to 1970**

<b>Year and Population Change</b>	<b>Escambia County</b>	<b>Santa Rosa County</b>	<b>Pensacola SMSA</b>
Population: 1960	173,829	29,547	203,376
Population: 1970	205,334	37,741	243,075
Change: 1960 to 1970	31,505	8,194	39,699
Percent of Change	18.1%	27.7%	19.5%
Births: 1960 to 1970	46,813	9,548	56,361
Deaths: 1960 to 1970	13,589	2,308	15,897
Net Migration	-1,719	954	-765

(Source: U.S. Dept. of Commerce)

**Population of Principal Cities in Escambia and Santa Rosa Counties:**

	<b>1960</b>	<b>1970</b>	<b>1975</b>
<b>ESCAMBIA COUNTY</b>	<b>173,829</b>	<b>205,334</b>	<b>214,017</b>
Cantonment	NA	3,241	NA
Century	NA	2,479	NA
Myrtle Grove	NA	16,186	NA
Pensacola	56,752	59,507	63,138
South Flomation	462	329	472
Warrington	NA	15,825	NA
West Pensacola	NA	20,924	NA
 <b>SANTA ROSA COUNTY</b>			
Gulf Breeze	NA	4,190	5,186
Jay	672	646	779
Milton	4,108	5,360	5,677
Pace	NA	1,776	NA
Whiting Field	NA	3,439	NA

NA: Not Available

(Source: Florida Dept. of Commerce)

### **Natality and Mortality:**

Generally speaking, birth rates in the Pensacola area continue to be higher, and death rates lower than those evidenced in the State of Florida and the United States. Total number of births are decreasing while the relative death rate has remained more stable. However, in comparison, the rate and number of births remain high.

In 1960, Escambia County had a birth rate of 30.9 and Santa Rosa County a birth rate of 33.4 births per 1,000 population, as compared to 23.1 for Florida and 23.7 for the Nation. The birth rate has declined considerably since then. In 1957, the birth rate for Escambia County was 16.9, and for Santa Rosa County 17.1, while the birth rate for the state was 13.8. The birth rate, though declining, is likely to remain above average because of the relatively young population in the area. It is interesting to note that the non-white birth rate has not decreased as much as the total birth rate and, in fact, is increasing in Santa Rosa County.

### **Birth Rates per 1,000 Persons**

	1960	1965	1975
Escambia County	30.9	23.5	21.7
Santa Rosa County	33.4	25.1	22.4
State of Florida	23.1	18.4	13.4
United States	23.3	19.4	15.0

(Source: Florida Dept. of Vital Statistics)

The crude death rates for the Pensacola area in 1960 were 7.1 for Escambia County and 7.2 for Santa Rosa County, as compared with 9.6 for Florida and 9.5 for the Nation.

(Based on number of deaths per 1,000 population). Once again, the youth of the area's population is a factor. By 1975 the death rate for Escambia County was 7.3 and for Santa Rosa County 6.7. Non-white death rates were higher than white death rates in both Escambia and Santa Rosa Counties.

Principal causes for death for the Pensacola area do not vary appreciably from those for the entire State or for the Nation. Heart diseases, cancer, cerebrovascular diseases and accidents continue to take a high toll of the population. More than 70 per cent of all deaths in the area are attributed to one of these four killers.

#### **Death Rates per 1,000 Persons**

	<b>1950</b>	<b>1965</b>	<b>1975</b>
Escambia County	7.1	7.3	7.3
Santa Rosa County	6.3	5.2	6.7
State of Florida	9.9	10.5	11.6
United States	9.5	9.4	9.8

(Source: Florida Dept. of Vital Statistics)

#### **RACIAL COMPOSITION:**

The 1970 U.S. Census showed that Escambia County accounted for approximately 94% of all non-white families in the Pensacola metro-area. A little over 50% of the non-white families resided within the city limits of Pensacola. A little over 50% of the non-white families resided within the city limits of Pensacola. Although the non-white population accounts for 20.6% of the area's total population, they account for approximately 44 per cent of all people with incomes below the poverty level.



**Distribution of Non-White Population:**

CITY OF PENSACOLA	1960	1970
Total Population	56,752	59,707
Non-White Population	18,672	19,968
Percentage of Non-Whites	32.9%	33.6%

**ESCAMBIA COUNTY**

Total Population	173,829	205,334
Non-White Population	36,404	42,320
Percentage of Non-Whites	20.9%	20.6%

**SANTA ROSA COUNTY**

Total Population	29,547	37,741
Non-White Population	2,163	2,249
Percentage of Non-Whites	7.3%	6.0%

**PENSACOLA SMSA**

Total Population	203,547	243,075
Non-White Population	38,567	44,569
Percentage of Non-Whites	19.0%	18.4%

# **General Characteristics of the Negro Population:**

<b>POPULATION</b>	<b>Pensacola SMSA</b>	<b>Escambia County</b>	<b>Santa Rosa County</b>	<b>City of Pensacola</b>
Total — all ages	42,309	40,344	1,965	19,674
Male — all ages	19,979	19,095	884	8,952
Female — all ages	22,330	21,249	1,081	10,722
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>				
Persons 16 Years old and over	5,163	4,880	283	2,159
Not attending school	2,627	2,480	147	1,116
Not HS Grads	1,259	1,208	51	560
<b>YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED</b>				
Persons 25 years and over	18,300	17,516	784	9,434
No School completed	917	907	10	362
Elementary: 1 to 4 yrs.	2,675	2,552	123	1,330
5 to 7 yrs.	3,453	3,299	154	1,764
8 years	1,774	1,719	55	999


<b>Years of School Completed (con't)</b>	<b>Pensacola SMSA</b>	<b>Escambia County</b>	<b>Santa Rosa County</b>	<b>City of Pensacola</b>
High School: 1-3 yrs.	4,410	4,168	242	2,192
4 yrs.	3,495	3,374	121	1,897
College: 1-3 years	942	902	40	474
4 yrs. or more	634	595	39	416
Median School years Completed	9.2	9.2	9.6	9.4
Percent HS Grads	27.7%	27.5%	25.5%	29.5%

(Source: U.S. Census — 1970)

missing pages 961 to 969.....

photo page 970.....Census Tracts....

# Census Tracts



# **GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF POPULATION BY TRACT**

	<b>Total Population</b>	<b>Percent Negro Population</b>	<b>Number of Houses</b>	<b>Avg. Value of Houses</b>	<b>Percent Population Under 18</b>	<b>Percent Population 19 to 61</b>	<b>Percent Population Over 62</b>
Tract No. 1	260	41%	119	\$15,700	15%	61%	24%
Tract No. 2	3,820	51%	1,689	\$ 8,600	30%	50%	20%
Tract No. 3	4,659	13%	1,759	\$10,100	30%	56%	14%
Tract No. 4	5,932	93%	1,799	\$ 8,700	43%	47%	10%
Tract No. 5	2,203	35%	941	\$19,000	29%	52%	19%
Tract No. 6	5,116	96%	1,871	\$ 8,400	37%	49%	14%
Tract No. 7	3,232	53%	1,169	\$ 8,700	39%	56%	15%
Tract No. 8	5,601	23%	2,411	\$13,500	24%	54%	22%
Tract No. 9	3,372	4%	1,377	\$20,800	24%	57%	19%
Tract No. 10	7,029	—	2,335	\$25,200	35%	57%	8%
Tract No. 11	8,302	—	2,414	\$20,300	41%	56%	3%
Tract No. 12	6,182	1%	2,063	\$16,400	30%	62%	8%
Tract No. 13	5,854	9%	1,830	\$18,700	36%	57%	7%

	Total Population	Percent Negro Population	Number of Houses	Avg. Value of Houses	Percent Population Under 18	Percent Population 19 to 61	Percent Population Over 62
TOTALS — City							
of Pensacola	61,562	32%	21,777	\$14,930*	37.7%	48.3%	14.0%
Tract No. 14	6,809	22%	2,080	\$14,200	41%	53%	6%
Tract No. 15	3,441	96%	1,050	\$11,200	34%	61%	5%
Tract No. 16	3,540	82%	942	\$ 8,200	43%	46%	11%
Tract No. 17	4,738	39%	1,437	\$ 9,100	44%	48%	8%
Tract No. 18	4,820	10%	1,762	\$ 8,700	33%	54%	13%
Tract No. 19	3,037	—	1,195	\$ 9,000	30%	55%	15%
Tract No. 20	3,471	33%	1,190	\$ 9,400	38%	54%	8%
Tract No. 21	6,720	1%	2,544	\$11,400	32%	60%	8%
Tract No. 22	6,684	5%	2,385	\$11,500	37%	55%	8%
Tract No. 23	6,861	4%	2,144	\$17,000	28%	60%	12%
Tract No. 24	4,816	4%	448	**	22%	78%	—%
Tract No. 27	2,828	29%	980	\$ 9,100	39%	54%	7%
Tract No. 28	8,413	1%	2,071	\$17,500	31%	65%	4%
Tract No. 29	5,575	—	1,730	\$11,900	39%	55%	6%
Tract No. 30	6,753	2%	2,008	\$14,700	41%	55%	4%

	Total Population	Percent Negro Population	Number of Houses	Avg. Value of Houses	Percent Population Under 18	Percent Population 19 to 61	Percent Population Over 62
Tract No. 31	4,886	1%	1,345	\$13,800	45%	53%	2%
Tract No. 32	7,340	47%	1,916	\$12,400	47%	49%	4%
Tract No. 33	6,612	—	2,000	\$13,000	40%	56%	4%
Tract No. 34	4,273	13%	1,329	\$11,900	40%	53%	7%
Tract No. 35	9,933	11%	2,568	\$15,700	36%	59%	5%
Tract No. 36	4,497	1%	1,239	\$20,400	35%	60%	5%
TOTALS:							
Tracts 14-36	116,048	19.0%	34,363	\$11,909*	39.9%	56.3%	7.0%
TOTALS:							
Tracts 1-13	61,562	32.2%	21,777	\$14,930*	37.7%	48.3%	14.0%
GRAND TOTALS:							
Tracts 1-36	177,610	24.1%	56,140	\$13,460*	34.9%	55.4%	9.7%

\* Average

\*\* Not Available

Source: (U.S. Census — 1970)

## Plaintiff Exhibit #32

## DULLAS TRACT

Inre: Traders Brokerage Co., et al., (Restrictions), filed April 16, 1940. 3 p.m.

State of Florida:

County of Escambia:

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: WHEREAS, we the undersigned are owners of the property in the City of Pensacola, Florida, and more particularly described as follows: Lots 19 to 28, both inclusive, in Block 20; owners, A. C. Blount and Mary S. Blount, husband and wife. Lots 9 to 11, both inclusive, in Block 19; owner, Traders Brokerage Company, a corporation.

Said property being in North Hill Highlands, being the Dallas Land Company's resubdivision of part of the Dallas Tract, as shown by map of same recorded in Deed Book 62 at Page 244 and Deed Book 64 at Page 572 of the public records of Escambia County, State of Florida.

WHEREAS, we the owners of the above described properties are desirous of placing restrictions on the use of the said property for residential purposes:

THEREFORE, THESE PRESENTS WITNESSETH: That the parties to this agreement, for and in consideration of the mutual covenants herein contained and the further consideration of one (\$1.00) Dollar in hand paid by each of the parties to the other, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, and for other good and valuable consideration, do herein and hereby covenant and agree



one with the other, for ourselves, our heirs, successors, assigns and legal representatives, that as to said property above described, the following restrictions placed upon our respective holdings as come within the boundaries above described:

(a) All lots in the tract shall be known and described as residential lots, and no structures shall be erected on any residential building plot other than one detached single-family dwelling not to exceed two stories in height and a one or two car garage.

(b) No building shall be erected on any residential building plot nearer than 20 feet to the front lot line, nor nearer than five (5) feet to any side lot line. The side-line restriction shall not apply to garage located on the rear one-quarter of lot, except that on corner lots no structure shall be permitted nearer than eight (8) feet to the side street line.

(c) No race or nationality other than caucasian shall use or occupy any building or lot, except that this covenant shall not prevent occupancy by domestic servants or a different race or nationality employed by an owner or tenant.

(d) No building shall be erected on any residential building plot having an area of less than eighty-four hundred (8400) square feet or a frontage of less than sixty (60) feet.

(e) No noxious or offensive trade shall be carried on upon any lot nor shall anything be done thereon which may be or become an annoyance or nuisance to the neighborhood.

(f) No structure shall be moved onto any lot unless it meets with the approval of the committee hereinafter

referred to, or if there is no committee, it shall conform to and be in harmony with existing structures in the tract.

(g) No building shall be erected on any lot until the design and location thereof have been approved in writing by a committee appointed by the subdivider or elected by a majority of the owners of lots in said subdivision. However, in the event that such committee is not in existence or fails to approve or disapprove such design or location within fifteen (15) days, then such approval will not be required provided the design and location on the lot conform to and are in harmony with existing structures in the tract. In any case either with or without the approval of the committee, no dwelling costing less than Thirty-five Hundred (\$3500) Dollars shall be permitted on any lot in the tract, and the ground floor square foot area thereof shall not be less than nine hundred (900) square feet in the case of a one-story structure nor less than seven hundred (700) square feet in the case of a one-and-one-half or two-story structure.

(h) No trailer, basement, tent, shack, garage, barn, or other cut buildings erected in the tract shall at any time be used as a residence temporarily or permanently, nor shall any residence of a temporary character be permitted.

(i) These covenants and restrictions are to run with the land and shall be binding on all of the parties and all persons claiming under them until January 1, 1970, at which time said covenants and restrictions shall terminate.

(j) If the parties hereto, or any of them or their heirs or assigns, shall violate or attempt to violate any of the covenants or restrictions herein before January 1, 1970, it shall be lawful for any other person or persons owning any other lots in said development or subdivision to prosecute

(k) Invalidation of any one of these covenants by judgment or court order shall in no wise effect any of the other provisions which shall remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, We hereunto set our hands and seals this 11 day of April, 1940.

**WITNESSES:**                      Traders Brokerage Co. (Seal)  
Lee Daniell                        By O. J. Semmes, (Seal)  
C. C. Wehmeier, (Corporate Seal)                      Pres.

A.C. Blount, (Seal)

As to A. C. Blount and Mary S. Blount.

Mary S. Blount, (Seal)

#474

Inre: Terry Richardson, et al., Restrictions, filed July 31, 1940. 11 A.M.

State of Florida:

County of Escambia:

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, That: WHEREAS, we the undersigned owners of property in the City of Pensacola, Florida, and more particularly described as follows: To-wit:

Terry Richardson and Virginia L. Richardson, owners of Lots 5 and 6; Harry E. Fowler and Nellie R. Fowler,

owners of Lots 7 and 8; J. E. Driskell and Beatrice N. Driskell, owners of lots 9 and 10; Crawford Rainwater and Betty G. Rainwater, owners of lots 11 and 12; all being in Block 176 of the Salter Tract;

Terry Richardson and Virginia L. Richardson, owners of lots 16 to 19 both inclusive in Block 177 of the Salter Tract;

All Block 178, Salter Tract, B. A. Murphy as agent for Mary E. Murphy.

Lots 1 to 4, inclusive in Block 1 North Highlands, B. A. Murphy as agent for Mary E. Murphy.

WHEREAS, we the owners of the above described property are desirous of placing restrictions on the use of the said property for residential purposes:

Therefore, These Presents Witnesseth: That the parties to this agreement, for and in consideration of the mutual covenants herein contained and the further consideration of One (\$1.00) Dollar in hand paid by each of the parties to the other, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, and for other good and valuable consideration, do herein and hereby covenant and agree one with the other, for ourselves, our heirs, successor and legal representatives, that as to said property above described, the following restrictions placed upon our respective holdings as come within the boundaries above described;

(a) All lots in the tract shall be known as residential lots, and no structure shall be erected on any lot other than one detached single-family dwelling not exceeding two stories in height and a one or two car garage.

(b) No building shall be erected on any residential building plot nearer than 25 feet to the front lot line, nor

nearer than Five (5) feet to any side lot line. The side line restriction shall not apply to any garage located on the rear one-quarter of lot, except that on corner lots no structure shall be permitted nearer than Eight (8) feet to the side street line.

(c) No race or nationality other than caucasian shall use or occupy any building on lot, except that this covenant shall not apply to domestic servants of a different race or nationality employed by the owner or tenant.

(d) No building shall be erected on any residential building plot having an area of less than 7000 square feet or a frontage of less than Fifty (50) feet. Block 178 Salter Tract is hereby expressly excepted from this covenant because of its shape and size.

(e) No noxious or offensive trade shall be carried on upon any lot nor shall anything be done thereon which may be or become an annoyance or nuisance to the neighborhood.

(f) No structure shall be moved on to any lot unless it meets with the approval of the committee herein after referred to, or if there is no committee, it shall conform to and be in harmony with existing structures in the tract.

(g) No building shall be erected on any lot until the design or location thereof have been approved in writing by a committee appointed or elected by a majority of the undersigned owners of the lots herein described. However, in the event such a committee is not in existence or fails to approve or disapprove such design or location within fifteen days, then such approval will not be required provided the design and location on the lot conform to and are in harmony with the existing structures in the tract. In any case with or without the approval of the committee,

no dwelling shall be erected costing less than Two Thousand Five Hundred (\$2500.00) Dollars on any lot in the tract, and the ground floor area thereof shall not be less than Nine Hundred (900) square feet in the case of a one-story structure nor less than Seven Hundred (700) square feet in the case of one and one-half or two story structure.

(h) No trailer, basement, shack, tent, garage, barn, or other outbuilding erected in the tract shall at any time be used as a residence temporarily or permanently, nor shall any residence of a temporary character be permitted. This does not apply to quarters to be occupied by domestic servants.

(i) Any structure erected on Lots 16 to 19, Block 177 Salter Tract, herein above described shall have its main front entrance facing North or East.

(J) These covenants and restrictions are to run with the land and shall be binding on all parties and all persons claiming under them until January 1, 1970, at which time the covenants and restriction shall terminate.

(k) If the parties hereto or any of them, or their heirs or assigns, shall violate or attempt to violate any of the covenants or restrictions herein, before January 1, 1970, it shall be lawful for any other person or persons claiming under them or owning said lots named herein in said subdivision to prosecute any proceedings at law or in equity against the person or persons violating or attempting to violate any such covenant or restriction and either prevent him or them from so doing or to recover damages or other dues for such violation.

(l) Invalidation of any one of these covenants by judgment or court order shall in no wise effect of the other provisions which shall remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we hereunto set our hands and seals this 19th day of April, A. D., 1940.

\* \* \*

Inre: R. M. Jernigan, et al., (Restrictions #1005), filed Aug. 23, 1940. 10 A. M.

State of Florida:

County of Escambia:

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS THAT: Whereas, we the undersigned are the owners of the property in the City of Pensacola, Florida, and more particularly described as follows:

Lots 25, 26, 27 and 28, Block 9, North Highlands — Owner, Mrs. Mary C. Keyser.

Lots 23 and 24, Block 9, North Highlands — Owner, R. M. Jernigan. of the North Highlands;

Lots above named are described according to map of said plan North Highlands being a sub-division of Section eighteen (18), Township Two (2) South, Range Thirty (30) West, Pensacola, Florida, Escambia County, Florida.

WHEREAS, we the owners of the above described properties are desirous of placing restrictions on the use of the said property for residential purposes;

THEREFORE, THESE PRESENTS WITNESSETH: That the parties to this agreement, for and in consideration of the mutual covenants herein contained and the further consideration of One (\$1.00) Dollar in hand paid by each of the parties to the other, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, and for other good and valuable consideration, do herein and hereby covenant and agree one with the other, for ourselves, our heirs, successors, assigns and legal representatives, that as to said property

above described, the following restrictions placed upon our respective holdings as come within the boundaries above described:

(a) All lots in the tract shall be known and described as residential lots, and no structures shall be erected on any residential building plot other than one detached single family dwelling not to exceed two stories in height and a one of two car garage.

(b) No building shall be erected on any residential building plot nearer than 15 feet to the front lot line, nor nearer than five (5) feet to any side lot line. The side line restriction shall not apply to garage located on the near one-quarter of lot, except that on corner lots no structure shall be permitted nearer than eight (8) feet to the side street line.

(c) No race or nationality other than caucasian shall use or occupy any building on lot, except that this covenant shall not prevent occupancy by domestic servants of a different race or nationality employed by an owner or tenant.

(d) No residential lot shall be resubdivided into building plots having less than six (6,000) thousand square feet of area, or a width of less than sixty (60) feet each, nor shall any building be erected on any residential building plot having an area of less than sixty (60) square feet or a frontage of less than sixty (60) feet.

(e) No noxious or offensive trade shall be carried on upon any lot nor shall anything be done thereon which may be or become an annoyance or nuisance to the neighborhood.



(f) No structure shall be moved onto any lot unless it meets with the approval of the committee hereinafter referred to, or if there is no committee, it shall conform to and be in harmony with existing structures in the tract.

(g) No building shall be erected on any lot until the design and location thereof have been approved in writing by a committee appointed by the subdivider or elected by a majority of the owners of lots in said sub-division. However, in the event that such a committee is not in existence or fails to approve or disapprove such design or location within 15 days, then such approval will not be required provided the design and location on the lot conforms to and are in harmony with existing structures in the tract. In any case either with or without the approval of the committee, no dwelling costing less than Three (\$3,000.00) Thousand dollars shall be permitted on any lot in the tract, and the ground floor square foot area thereof shall not be less than Nine hundred (900) square feet in the case of a one-story structure nor less than seven hundred (700) square feet in the case of a one-and one-half or two-story structure.

(h) No trailer, basement, tent, shack, garage, barn, or other outbuildings erected in the tract shall at any time be used as a residence temporarily or permanently, nor shall any residence of a temporary character be permitted.

(i) These covenants and restrictions are to run with land and shall be binding on all the parties and all persons claiming under them until January 1, 1970, at which time said covenants and restrictions shall terminate.

(j) If the parties hereto, or any of them, or their heirs or assigns, shall violate or attempt to violate any of the covenants or restrictions herein before January 1, 1970, it

shall be lawful for any other person or persons owning any other lots in said development or subdivision to prosecute any proceedings at law or in equity against the person or persons violating or attempting to violate any such covenant or restriction and either to prevent him or them from so doing or to recover damages or other dues for such violation.

(k) Invalidation of any one of these covenants by judgment or court order shall in no wise affect any of the other provisions which shall remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have hereunto set our hands and seals this 20th day of Aug., 1940.

Witnesses:

R.C. Caldwell,	Mary C. Keyser,	(Seal)
J. Whiting Hyer,	R.M. Jerrigan,	(Seal)
C.C. Hounstein,		
Cleo Jersan.		

STATE OF ALABAMA  
COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA

Before the subscriber, a Notary Public, personally appeared R. M. Jernigan, to me.

# PLAINTIFF EXHIBIT #33

## VOTES CAST FOR ALL CANDIDATES IN SELECTED PRECINCTS September 1976 Primary (including percentage turnout)

	Precinct	County Commission				School Board			Total registered	
		1	3	5	2	4*	6	7*		
Black:	49	273 36%	257 34%	217 28%	219 29%	387 52%	230 31%	376 50%	749	1047
	76	198 34%	199 34%	153 27%	158 27%	292 51%	155 27%	264 46%	575	
	90	152 36%	146 34%	121 28%	105 25%	210 49%	106 25%	206 48%	425	
	91	202 32%	193 31%	158 25%	153 24%	271 43%	136 22%	266 42%	630	
	28	479 37%	450 35%	391 30%	356 28%	611 47%	360 28%	601 47%	1291	

	Precinct	County Commission				School Board			Total registered
		1	3	5	2	4*	6	7*	
White:	18	133 57%	131 56%	144 62%	114 49%	106 45%	117 50%	158 68%	234
	33	189 53%	183 52%	205 58%	163 46%	147 41%	157 44%	135 38%	355
	55	322 52%	315 51%	317 51%	302 49%	293 47%	294 47%	237 38%	619
	87	391 42%	391 42%	378 41%	380 41%	365 39%	358 39%	340 37%	929
	35	702 50%	695 50%	677 49%	654 47%	655 47%	655 47%	587 42%	1394
	46	491 54%	485 53%	476 52%	443 49%	458 50%	461 51%	424 47%	908

1048

## White Precincts

18	40.9%
33	33.7%
55	29.7%
87	47.9%
35	46.5%
46	51.6%
96	51.6%
107	49.1%

Average Turnout — 42-67%

May 2, 1976 — Special School Board Referendum

## Black Precincts

49	14%
76	14%
90	14%
91	9%
28	9%

## White Precincts

18	27%
33	17%
55	16%
87	18%
35	21%
46	36%
96	37%
107	28%

Average Turnout — 20%

Precinct	County Commission				School Board			Total registered
	1	3	5	2	4*	6	7*	
96	664 48%	650 47%	637 46%	624 45%	635 46%	626 45%	590 43%	1382
107	729 47%	706 46%	708 46%	692 45%	700 45%	718 47%	667 43%	—

\*Black candidates: Spence for Place 4; Jenkins for Place 7.

## VOTER TURNOUT SUMMARY

## 1976 Elections

## March 9, 1976 Presidential Preference Primary

## Black Precincts

49	58%
76	56%
90	56%
91	51%
28	46%

## White Precincts

18	58%
33	49%
55	61%
87	56%
35	59%
46	62%
96	60%
107	59%

Average Turnout — 57%

March 9, 1976 — Constitutional Amendment to limit  
taxes for water management districts

## Black Precincts

49	22.1%
76	19.1%
90	19.1%
91	14.4%
28	15.2%

## PLANTIFF'S EXHIBIT #55

## ADVICE SLIP

TO: Jay

SUBJECT: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 12-29-75

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Please note and file                        | <input type="checkbox"/> To be xerox'd <input type="checkbox"/> copies |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Please note and return to me                | <input type="checkbox"/> Please answer with copy me                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Please note and see me about this           | <input type="checkbox"/> Prepare information for me to reply           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Please handle this                          | <input type="checkbox"/> Returning to your files                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> For your information                        | <input type="checkbox"/> To be filed                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Your comments, please                       | <input type="checkbox"/> To be checked                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> To be typed <input type="checkbox"/> copies |  |

-----  
Additional Remarks:

Keep this for info & reference, if she requests this of Council.

10-26-76

Mrs. McMillan

rec'd copies of past election res. from 1955 on ward maps, etc. on & subj.

-----  
From: \_\_\_\_\_



## MEMORANDUM

TO: Don J. Caton, City Attorney  
 DATE: June 17, 1977  
 RE: *Jenkins v. City of Pensacola*

1959 Referendum to change to at-large elections. Relevant material and *News Journal* was supportative in their news articles and editorials for the change to at-large elections for City Council. To quote from an October 6, 1959 *News Journal* editorial, ". . . We believe it is better for all the people to have a say in the election of all the Councilmen, rather than being restricted to just six of them."

*Pensacola News Journal*,  
 Oct.  
 page 1A

Out of 10,874 people eligible to vote, only 2,029 voted. One thousand seven hundred twenty-two were for the change, 307 against. All precincts voted for the change except one — Precinct #90, ". . . a predominately Negro area around the J. Lee Pickens School, cast 10 votes against the change and 7 for." There were 308 qualified *black* voters in this precinct and zero (0) white.

There are seven attached News articles that refer to the election, which seems to point out that there was adequate news coverage. As can be seen from the vote in Precinct 90, (308 qualified black voters — only 17 voted), interest or

concern was not displayed for the election in this black precinct, which may be an indication of other black precincts.

/s/

Thomas Bell  
MPA Intern

TB/dle.  
Attachments

22 December 1975

Mrs. Charlene Nimmo  
4655 Mariana Avenue  
Pensacola, FL 32504

Dear Mrs. Nimmo:

This is in response to the note which you wrote to me a week or so ago. In your note you requested my advice on the procedure for changing some of the City Council positions to elections by district rather than at large. You indicated in your note that your inquiry comes from the Chamber Minority Involvement Task Force.

The duties of my office involve several areas including the giving of advice to the City Council on various matters. I am not allowed to give specific advice or opinions to private individuals or groups unless specifically instructed to do so by the City Council. Therefore, I will be unable to respond to your question directly. If the City Council becomes interested in this particular subject and wishes to request my advice on it, then, of course, I would respond to them.

I might suggest, however, that you contact the City Clerk's office or the City Manager's office on this particular subject. You will find that the current procedure of electing City Councilmen was changed some years back to the present system. The City Clerk's office may have some information indicating why that change was made.

Sincerely yours

/s/ Don J. Caton  
City Attorney

Mr. Faison:

Yes, she wanted my advice too. I told her that a Special Act would be necessary with (I assumed) approval in a referendum as was the case in 1959 when the present system was adopted. She asked "how" and I replied somewhat nebulously suggesting that if the LWV (or whatever group) was interested, they could propose it to the Delegation altho I was sure they would want Council input. This was *before* she talked to Don Caton. I told her that he handled our Legislation for the Council and she seemed to be wondering out loud (or probing) as to whether there would be much support in Council for her proposal. I discussed briefly the "Philosophy" behind the present system and its advantages. She lightly debated it (hinting about minority dissatisfaction and feelings of being disenfranchised which I could smell coming) whereupon I told her it was essentially a political question which I wasn't in a position to argue. Then she must have must have moved on to Don Caton.

In case we need it, I've dug up an the old info we've got on this from the 1959 referendum.

Signature illegible...

J 10/4/59

**NOTICE**  
**MUNICIPAL SPECIAL REFERENDUM ELECTION**  
**THE CITY OF PENSACOLA**  
**OCTOBER 6, 1959**

**ON THE QUESTION —** Do you desire the City of Pensacola to change its present system of election for the office of councilman to be elected within the City of Pensacola at large?

(Pursuant to the passage of 59-1730, Laws of Florida 1959)

Chas. H. Walker  
City Clerk Comptroller

## RESOLUTION

No. 26-59

ADOPTED: AUGUST 20, 1959

A RESOLUTION TO BE ENTITLED:

A RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR THE HOLDING OF A REFERENDUM ELECTION ON OCTOBER 6, 1959; DESIGNATING THE CLERKS AND INSPECTORS OF EACH ELECTION DISTRICT FOR THE HOLDING OF SAID ELECTION, WITH PROVISION FOR SUBSTITUTE OR ADDITIONAL CLERKS AND INSPECTORS; DESIGNATING THE POLLING PLACES IN EACH ELECTION DISTRICT PROVIDING FOR THE PUBLICATION OF SUCH LIST OF CLERKS, INSPECTORS AND POLLING PLACES; PROVIDING FOR THE COMPENSATION OF SAID CLERKS AND INSPECTORS; PROVIDING FOR THE COUNTING, CANVASSING AND CERTIFICATION OF THE RESULTS OF THE VOTES CAST IN SAID ELECTION.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PENSACOLA, FLORIDA:

SECTION 1. That pursuant to the passage of 59-1730, Laws of Florida of 1959, a Special Referendum Election shall be held on Tuesday - October 6, 1959 to determine whether or not the composition of the City Council and the term of the Councilmen of the City of Pensacola shall be by a vote of all of the qualified electors of the City of Pensacola at large and to alter and amend the existing method of election for members of the City Council of the City of Pensacola. That there shall be one clerk and three inspectors of election and said clerks and inspectors of

election are hereby named and designated and the following polling place in each election-district is hereby designated as follows:

STATE OF FLORIDA

OFFICE OF SECRETARY OF STATE

I.R.A. Gray. Secretary of State of the State of Florida, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of chapter 59-1730, Laws of Florida, Regular Session 1959, as filed in this office.

Given under my hand and the Great Seal of  
the State of Florida at Tallahassee, the Capital,  
this the 6th day of July  
A.D. 1959

/s/ RW Gray  
Secretary of State

## SECTION 2. REFERENDUM.

The City of Pensacola, through its designated election officials, shall cause to be held within the City of Pensacola a referendum of all of the qualified voters qualified in the last general election of the City of Pensacola to determine whether or not this act shall become effective. Said referendum shall be held in accordance with the laws and ordinances of the City of Pensacola relating to general elections and in the manner provided by law upon publication of notice at least thirty (30) days prior to the holding of said referendum election.

It shall be the duty of the City Council of the City of Pensacola, Florida, to call such referendum within six (6) months from the passage of this act and it becoming law.

That at such referendum the following proposition shall be proposed to the qualified, registered voters of the City of Pensacola, in words and figures substantially as follows:

“Vote Yes or No on the following proposition by marking X by the appropriate word in answer to the proposition DO YOU DESIRE THE CITY OF PENSACOLA TO CHANGE ITS PRESENT SYSTEM OF ELECTION FOR THE OFFICE OF COUNCILMAN OF THE CITY OF PENSACOLA BY REQUIRING COUNCILMEN TO BE ELECTED WITHIN THE CITY OF PENSACOLA AT LARGE”?

SECTION 3. All laws or parts of laws in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

SECTION 4. This act shall become effective immediately upon it becoming law for the purpose of calling and holding the election herein provided for in the adoption of



the amendment to the City Charter of the City of Pensacola provided for by this act.

If a majority of the qualified voters of the City of Pensacola, voting in the special referendum election herein provided to be called and held for the purpose of approving the amendment to the City Charter, vote in favor of and for its ratification and approval, the same shall become effective immediately as a part of the Charter of Pensacola.

Became a law without the Governor's approval.  
Filed in Office Secretary of State June 20, 1959.

---

**CERTIFICATE OF THE BOARD  
OF CANVASSERS  
FOR THE SPECIAL REFERENDUM ELECTION  
HELD ON TUESDAY – OCTOBER 6, 1959**

---

We, the undersigned Board of Canvassers designated to canvass the returns of the Special Referendum Election held in the several Election Districts of The City of Pensacola, Florida, on TUESDAY, OCTOBER 6, 1959, as required by the provisions of RESOLUTION NO. 26-59, adopted August 20, 1959, being a resolution "Providing For The Holding Of The Referendum Election", on October 6, 1959, to determine if all analysis of the City Council should be elected by the city at large, do hereby certify that we did on the 8th day of October, 1959, publicly proceed to canvas the votes cast at said Special Referendum Election for the determination of the method of election of the Councilmen of the City of Pensacola, Florida, as

shown by the returns certified to by the duly authorized Clerks and Inspectors of the said Election, and as a result of the said canvass, we do hereby certify that as a result of said Special Referendum Election, the following is the YES and NO vote:

DO YOU DESIRE THE CITY OF PENSACOLA TO CHANGE ITS PRESENT SYSTEM OF ELECTION FOR THE OFFICE OF COUNCILMAN OF THE CITY OF PENSACOLA BY REQUIRING COUNCILMEN TO BE ELECTED WITHIN THE CITY OF PENSACOLA AT LARGE?

YES	1722
NO	307
TOTAL VOTES CAST	2029

BOARD OF CANVASSERS  
SPECIAL REFERENDUM  
ELECTION OCTOBER 6, 1959

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
City Attorney

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk-Comptroller

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
Registration and Election  
Committee

**Office of the  
SECRETARY OF STATE  
State of Florida  
Tallahassee**

March 1st, 1960

City of Pensacola  
Attn: Mr. Chas. H. Walker  
Director of Finance  
Pensacola, Florida

Dear Mr. Walker:

I am in receipt of your letter of February 29th enclosing copy of Certificate of the Board of Canvassers showing results of the special referendum election held in the City of Pensacola, Florida, on October 6, 1959, in pursuance of the provisions of Chapter 59-1730, Laws of Florida, Acts of 1959.

This is to advise that this certificate has been duly recorded in this office in Municipal Charters Book IV, page 329, on March 1st, 1960.

Very truly yours,

/s/

Secretary of State.

/ch

## RESOLUTION

No. 26-59

ADOPTED: AUGUST 20, 1959

A RESOLUTION TO BE ENTITLED:

A RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR THE HOLDING OF A REFERENDUM ELECTION ON OCTOBER 6, 1959; DESIGNATING THE CLERKS AND INSPECTORS OF EACH ELECTION DISTRICT FOR THE HOLDING OF SAID ELECTION, WITH PROVISION FOR SUBSTITUTE OR ADDITIONAL CLERKS AND INSPECTORS; DESIGNATING THE POLLING PLACES IN EACH ELECTION DISTRICT PROVIDING FOR THE PUBLICATION OF SUCH LIST OF CLERKS, INSPECTORS AND POLLING PLACES; PROVIDING FOR THE COMPENSATION OF SAID CLERKS AND INSPECTORS; PROVIDING FOR THE COUNTING, CANVASSING AND CERTIFICATION OF THE RESULTS OF THE VOTES CAST IN SAID ELECTION.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PENSACOLA, FLORIDA:

SECTION 1. That pursuant to the passage of 59-1730, Laws of Florida of 1959, a Special Referendum Election shall be held on Tuesday - October 6, 1959 to determine whether or not the composition of the City Council and the term of the Councilmen of the City of Pensacola shall be by a vote of all of the qualified electors of the City of Pensacola at large and to alter and amend the existing method of election for members of the City Council of the City of Pensacola. That there shall be one clerk and three inspectors of election and said clerks and inspectors of election are hereby named and designated and the following polling place in each election-district is hereby designated as follows:

PRECINCT NUMBER	POLLING PLACE	CLERKS AND INSPECTORS	
--------------------	------------------	-----------------------	--

WARD NO. 1

PRECINCTS NO. 4, 40, 64, 73, 92, 93 and 96

4	Belvedere Park Subdivision Office 3822 Creighton Road	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Virginia Hoffmaster Mrs. J.A. Watson Mrs. R.M. Thompson Mrs. Jewell Williams
40	Bayview Park	CLERK INSPRS.	J.T. Mackey Mrs. W.R. Bicker Mrs. Tessie M. Suggs Mrs. T.H. Miller
64	Bayview Park	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Annie Sheppard Mrs. Eva Hardy Mrs. M.L. Brown Mrs. Raymond E. Walker

PRECINCT NUMBER	POLLING PLACE	CLERKS AND INSPECTORS	
73	Biddle's Garage 1810 E. Scott Street	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. E.W. Earps Mrs. J.B. Bates Mrs. Katherine Miller Mrs. Annabelle Glagola
92	B.D. Swain's Garage 1121 Barcia Drive	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Ann Ellis Mrs. E.L. Barrineau Mrs. Mary Richardson Mrs. A.B. Yniestra
93	W.L. Hall's Office 4304 N. Davis St.	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Warren Williams Mrs. Irene Eiland Mrs. C.E. Vallia Raymond Basly
96	Municipal Airport	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Chas. H. Blanchard Mrs. John Stringfield Mrs. Thos. E. Herrin, Sr. Mrs. W.H. Abbott

PRECINCT NUMBER	POLLING PLACE	CLERKS AND INSPECTORS	
WARD NO. 2			
PRECINCTS NO. 27, 28, 29, 30, 39, 49, 50, 51, 72 and 90			
27	Carl's Wee-Washit Laundry Strong and 9th Ave.	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Carrie Brooks F.B. Mann Mrs. M.E. Morey W.H. Crawford
28	Harvell's Service Station Cervantes and 7th Ave.	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Katie Borrass Mrs. Stella Sheats Mrs. Lillie Cary Mrs. John Brown
29	City Public Works Dept. Guillemarde and Gonzalez Streets	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Agnes Krammer Mrs. Pearl Carter Mrs. J.C. Connor Mrs. Maggie F. Brown

PRECINCT NUMBER	POLLING PLACE	CLERKS AND INSPECTORS	
39	Sheffield's Garage 2011 N. Palafox St.	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Guy H. Workman Mrs. Annie Avant Mrs. H.C. Haley Miss May D. Stokes
39	Mrs. Hughey's Residence Garage 14th Ave. and Lee St.	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Eunice Hughey Mrs. Carmen Dove Mrs. Mary Swift Mrs. Bessie Eggart
49	Bryan Gilmore's Garage 2201 N. 8th Avenue	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Bryan Gilmore Mrs. Mildred NicholSEN Mrs. E.T. Hayes F.B. King
50	Mrs. G.M. Henderson's Garage 912 E. Hatton Street	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Doris L. Jernigan Mrs. G.M. Henderson Mrs. Annie Marie Steveson Mrs. Henry Holt



PRECINCT NUMBER	POLLING PLACE	CLERKS AND INSPECTORS	
51	Myer's Garage 1615 E. Mallory Street	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. J.D. Myers Mrs. Margaret Noa Mrs. Jeanette O'Connell Mrs. Barton Pepper
72	Branchwood Grocery 15th Ave. and Maxwell	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. J.M. Armour Mrs. Dalmatia Jeudevine Mrs. W.M. Curtin Mrs. W.D. Brandon
90	Pickens School 2501 N. Hayne St.	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Victoria Anderson Mrs. Etta Mitaite Mrs. Ethel Smiley Mrs. Eva Mae Miller

PRECINCT  
NUMBER

POLLING  
PLACE

CLERKS AND INSPECTORS

**WARD NO. 3**

**PRECINCTS NO. 12, 13, 26, 35, 42, 54 and 63**

12	Wehmeir's Paint Shop Intendencia and Alcaniz Streets	CLERK INSPRS.	Alex Bell Mrs. Anna Karlson Mrs. Rosie Lukers Mrs. Mrs. Dolan Thomley
13	Lewis' Radio and T.V. Service 612 E. Wright St.	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Jessie Mefford Mrs. C.M. Thompson Mrs. Mike Mitchell Mrs. Fannie G. Nunez
26	Wilder's Garage 1601 E. Belmont St.	CLERK INSPRS.	J.R. Strawbridge Mrs. Ethel Oaks Mrs. Florence Brown Mrs. A.J. Ellis

PRECINCT NUMBER	POLLING PLACE	CLERKS AND INSPECTORS	
35	Community Club House East Pensacola Hts.	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Laureta Woodcock Mrs. Frances Barberi Mrs. B.D. Lewis Mrs. Juanita Bobe
42	Montanari's Service Station 9th Ave. and Wright Street	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Jeanette Gonzalez Mrs. Daisy Roche Mrs. Dora Gathleny Mrs. Gasque Nix
54	Community Building Aragon Court	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Eunice A. Hurd Mrs. Theresa A. Colley Mrs. Mattie Oglesby Mrs. Hubert Statam
63	McDaniel's Barber Shop 200 E. Fourth Street E. Pensacola Heights	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Blanche Horne Mrs. Lennie Briggs Mrs. Daisy B. Richards Mrs. Nell Thompson

PRECINCT NUMBER	POLLING PLACE	CLERKS AND INSPECTORS	
--------------------	------------------	-----------------------	--

**WARD NO. 4**

**PRECINCTS NO. 2, 32, 38, 46, 56, 62, 75 and 76**

2	Vince-Whibbs Pontiac Co. 2716 W. Cervantes St.	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Lula M. Kelley J.B. Clarke John G. Fell Mrs. Jessie Odom
32	Geo. S. Hallmark School 125 S. "E" Street	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Margaret Solari Mrs. Viola Thorsen Mrs. Mollie Clancy Mrs. Henry J. Bowen
38	Corner Grocery Jackson and "L" Sts.	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. E.L. Cobb Mrs. H.L. Cobb Mrs. W.H. Frye Mrs. L.M. Chalker

PRECINCT NUMBER	POLLING PLACE	CLERKS AND INSPECTORS	
46	Fireman's Hall 909 S. "J" Street	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Dora Brady Mrs. L. Fell Mrs. Henry Mandel Mrs. D.E. Bowen
56	L. Johnson's Garage Gregory and "J" Sts.	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Clara Johnson Mrs. R.H. Massey Mrs. Nellie Wright Mrs. Pete Engleman
62	Mrs. J.D. Feig's Garage 529 W. Mallory St.	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Margaret Turnipseed Mrs. Ethel Feig Mrs. Jean Matroni Mrs. Elwood Gonzalez
75	Kiwanis Park 1821 W. Romana St.	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Vivian Touart Mrs. Lucy Lane Mrs. Flora Bell Johnson Mrs. Norma E. Crooke

PRECINCT NUMBER	POLLING PLACE	CLERKS AND INSPECTORS	
76	H.A. Berry's Residence 708 North "N" St.	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Elsie Lowery Mrs. L.C. Morgan Mrs. Effie H. Berry Mrs. Mabel Freundsuh

In case any of the above Clerks and Inspectors are unable to attend on the day of said elections or in case additional Clerks and Inspectors are needed in any of the polling places, the City Clerk-Comptroller is hereby authorized to obtain persons to fill said positions, which persons will be subject to the same rules and regulations as the persons herein above appointed. The City Clerk-Comptroller shall publish said list of Clerks and Inspectors and said designation of polling places in a newspaper published in the City of Pensacola at least once prior to said election.

SECTION 2. That there shall be submitted to all of the qualified voters, qualified in the last and for the last General Election of the City of Pensacola the following proposition:

DO YOU DESIRE THE CITY OF PENSACOLA TO CHANGE ITS PRESENT SYSTEM OF ELECTION FOR THE OFFICE OF COUNCILMAN OF THE CITY OF PENSACOLA BY REQUIRING COUNCILMEN TO BE ELECTED WITHIN THE CITY OF PENSACOLA AT LARGE?

YES \_\_\_\_\_

NO \_\_\_\_\_

SECTION 3. That the compensation of said Clerks and Inspectors in hereby fixed at \$12.00 each, and the City Clerk-Comptroller be, and he is hereby directed to pay said compensation from the appropriation hitherto made for such purpose, and that no additional compensation shall be paid the Clerks and Inspectors for meals or transportation, which shall be furnished by the individual Clerk and Inspector.

SECTION 4. That the rental to be paid to the owner of each polling place or precinct shall be the sum of Ten (\$10.00) Dollars, and the City Clerk-Comptroller, be, and he is hereby directed to make such payment from the appropriation hitherto made for such purpose.

SECTION 5. That said Clerks and Inspectors in each of said Election Districts shall conduct said election in accordance with Ordinance No. 13-53, and shall count the votes and certify the results thereof in accordance with said Ordinance. Said certificates shall be made in duplicate, one copy of which shall be delivered along with the official envelope by the Clerk and Inspectors to the City Clerk-Comptroller and the other copy shall be sealed and delivered to the Chairman or a member of the Canvassing Board, designated in Ordinance No. 13-53.

SECTION 6. That the Clerks and Inspectors shall maintain good order at the polling places and said polling places shall be policed by the Police Division of the City of Pensacola.

SECTION 7. At 10:00 o'clock A. M. on the day following the election, the Canvassing Board shall publicly proceed to canvass the votes given for the several offices and persons as shown by the returns on file with the City Clerk-Comptroller and the Chairman or Member of said Board as above provided.



PRECINCT NUMBER	POLLING PLACE	CLERKS AND INSPECTORS	
--------------------	------------------	-----------------------	--

**WARD NO. 5**

**PRECINCTS NO. 12, 13, 26, 35, 42, 54 and 63**

14	County Court House Annex Palafox and Government Sts.	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. R.B. Morrison Mrs. Viola Rainer Mrs. Annie Roberts Mrs. Mary Louise Stewart
15	Escambia County Vocational School 215 W. Garden St.	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Jeannette Nunnari Mrs. Mary Crooke Mrs. C.F. Jones Mrs. Margaret Rowell
31	F.G. Wilson's Garage 319 W. DeSoto St.	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Florence W. Zelius Mrs. Evylin Price Mrs. Blanche S. Preslev Mrs. F.G. Wilson

PRECINCT NUMBER	POLLING PLACE	CLERKS AND INSPECTORS	
34	Mandel's Grocery Coyle and Chase Sts.	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Eva Wheat Mrs. Carrie Jones Mrs. Mamie Heinberg Mrs. Olga Carney
41	John Grace Sheet Metal Works 415 W. Government Street	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Rosa Del Buono Mrs. Annie Burt Mrs. Estelle Blanchard Mrs. Iva Walters
55	Mercury Grocery 423 N. DeVilliers St.	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. G.O.'Reilly Mrs. G.H. Baggett Mrs. Bernice P. Febro A.N. Anagnostou
74	W.A. Blount Jr. High School 113 North "C" Street	CLERK INSPRS.	Mrs. Ethel L. Bennie Mrs. Cecelia Boyden Mrs. Abbie Wimberly Mrs. E.M. Nell

SECTION 8. This Resolution shall be published and shall take effect immediately upon its adoption by the City Council.

Adopted: August 20, 1959

Approved:

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/

E.P. McCullough  
MAYOR. Pro Tem

Attest:

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/

Chas. H. Walker  
CITY CLERK - COMPTROLLER.

Legal in form and valid if adopted:

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/

CITY ATTORNEY.

CITY OF PENSACOLA  
CITY COUNCIL  
RECORD OF ACTION

\_\_\_\_\_19\_\_\_\_

SUBJECT \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

MOTION \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

	YES	NO
FUD THORNTON	X	
HENRY E. McLAUCHLIN	X	
T.P. O'GARA, JR.	X	
AL WOERNER	X	
EDWARD McCULLOUGH	X	
ROY S. PHILPOT	X	
JULIAN J. BANFELL	X	
KENNETH J. KELSON	X	
CHARLES D. HUMPHREYS	X	
CLYDE E. MILLER, JR.	X	

ACTION \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

=====

**NOTICE**  
**MUNICIPAL SPECIAL REFERENDUM ELECTION**  
**THE CITY OF PENSACOLA**  
**OCTOBER 6, 1959**

**ON THE QUESTION —** Do you desire the City of Pensacola to change its present system of election for the office of councilman of the City of Pensacola by requiring councilmen to be elected within the City of Pensacola at large?

(Pursuant to the passage of 59-1730, Laws of Florida 1959)

Chas. H. Walker  
City Clerk Comptroller

---

**LIST OF QUALIFIED XXXXX**  
**BY PRECINTS & WARDS**

**WARD #1**

	White	Colored	
#4	91	0	91
#40	243	0	243
#64	466	0	466
#73	476	0	476
#92	513	1	514
#93	369	0	369
#96	495	0	495
	2,653	1	2,654

## WARD #2

	White	Colored	
#27	305	0	305
#28	122	223	345
#29	54	183	237
#30	336	12	348
#39	364	0	364
#49	143	244	387
#50	349	26	375
#51	269	0	269
#72	237	34	271
#90	0	308	308
	2179	1,030	3,209
	67.9	—	32.1

## WARD #3

	White	Colored	
#12	137	19	156
#13	176	91	267
#26	254	0	254
#35	308	0	308
#42	172	39	211
#54	174	31	205
#63	225	0	225
	1,446	180	
	88.9	—	11.1

## WARD #4

	White	Colored	
# 2	45	0	45
#32	178	44	222
#38	119	61	180
#46	164	1	165
#56	362	4	366
#62	198	83	281
#75	217	3	220
#76	35	171	206
	1,308	367	1,685
	78.1	—	21.9

## WARD #5

	White	Colored	
#14	172	3	175
#15	138	19	157
#31	234	184	418
#34	197	15	212
#41	84	49	133
#55	85	149	234
#74	163	208	371
	1,073	627	1,700
	63.1	—	36.9

## TOTAL:

8,659	2,205	10,884
79.7	—	20.3

## CITY ELECTION POLLING PLACES

Here is a list of polling places for Tuesday's referendum on whether to change the method of electing City Council members:

### WARD ONE

Precinct 4, Belvedere Park Subdivision Office, 3522 Creighton Rd.

Precinct 40, Bayview Park.

Precinct 64, Bayview Park.

Precinct 73, Biddle's Garage, 1816 E. Scott St.

Precinct 92, B. D. Swain's Garage, 1121 Barcia Dr.

Precinct 93, W. L. Hall's office, 4304 N. Davis St.

Precinct 96, Municipal Airport.

### WARD TWO

Precinct 27, Carl's Wee-Washit Laundry, Strong Street and 9th Avenue.

Precinct 28, Harvell's Service Station, Cervantes Street and 7th Avenue.

Precinct 29, City Public Works Department, Guillemard and Gonzalez Streets.

Precinct 30, Sheffield's Garage, 2011 N. Palafox St.

Precinct 39, Mrs. Hughey's garage, 14th Avenue and Lee Street.

Precinct 49, Bryan Gilmore's garage, 2201 N. 8th Ave.

Precinct 50, Mrs. G. M. Henderson's garage, 212 E. Halton St.

Precinct 51, Myer's Garage, 1615 E. Mallory St.



Precinct 72, Branchwood Grocery, 15th Avenue and Maxwell Street.

Precinct 90, Pickens School, 2501 N. Hayne St.

### WARD THREE

Precinct 12, Wehmeter's Paint Shop. Intendencia and Alcaniz Streets.

Precinct 13, Lewis' Radio and TV Service, 612 E. Wright St.

Precinct 26, Wilder's Garage, 1001 E. Belmont St.

Precinct 35, Community Club House, East Pensacola Heights.

Precinct 42, Montanari's Service Station, 9th Avenue and Wright St.

Precinct 54, Community Building Aragon Court.

Precinct 63, McDaniel's Barber Shop. 200 E. Fourth St. East Pensacola Heights.

### WARD FOUR

Precinct 2, Vince-Whibbs Pontiac Co., 2716 W. Cervantes St. (formerly at Crabtree's Garage, 2101 W. Cervantes St.)

Precinct 37, Hallmark School 125 South H St.

Precinct 38, Corner Grocery, Jackson and L Streets.

Precinct 46, Fireman's Hall, 903 South J Street.

Precinct 56, L. Johnson's garage, Gregory and J Streets.

Precinct 62, Mrs. J. D. Feig's garage, 529 W. Mallory St.

Precinct 75, Kiwanis Park, 1821 W. Romana St.

Precinct 76, H. A. Berry's residence, 708 North N St.

WARD FIVE

Precinct 14, County Courthouse Annex, Palafox and Government Streets.

Precinct 15, Escambia County Vocational School, 215 W. Garden St.

Precinct 31, F. G. Wilson's garage, 319 W. DeSoto St.

Precinct 34, Mandel's Grocery, Coyle and Chase Streets.

Precinct 41, John Grace Sheet Metal Works, 415 W. Government Street.

Precinct 65, Mercury Grocery, 423 N. DeVilliers St.

Precinct 74, W. A. Blount Junior High School, 112 North C St.

**Office of the  
SECRETARY OF STATE  
State of Florida  
Tallahassee**

March 1st, 1960

City of Pensacola  
Attn: Mr. Chas. H. Walker  
Director of Finance  
Pensacola, Florida

Dear Mr. Walker:

I am in receipt of your letter of February 29th enclosing copy of Certificate of the Board of Canvassers showing results of the special referendum election held in the City of Pensacola, Florida, on October 6, 1959, in pursuance of the provisions of Chapter 59-1730, Laws of Florida, Acts of 1959.

This is to advise that this certificate has been duly recorded in this office in Municipal Charters Book IV, page 329, on March 1st, 1960.

Very truly yours,

/s/

Secretary of State.

/ch

CERTIFICATE OF THE BOARD  
OF CANVASSERS  
FOR THE SPECIAL REFERENDUM ELECTION  
HELD ON TUESDAY – OCTOBER 6, 1959

We, the undersigned Board of Canvassers designated to canvass the returns of the Special Referendum Election held in the several Election Districts of The City of Pensacola, Florida, on TUESDAY, OCTOBER 6, 1959, as required by the provisions of RESOLUTION NO. 26-59, adopted August 20, 1959, being a resolution "Providing For The Holding Of The Referendum Election", on October 6, 1959, to determine if all analysis of the City Council should be elected by the city at large, do hereby certify that we did on the 8th day of October, 1959, publicly proceed to canvas the votes cast at said Special Referendum Election for the determination of the method of election of the Councilmen of the City of Pensacola, Florida, as shown by the returns certified to by the duly authorized Clerks and Inspectors of the said Election, and as a result of the said canvass, we do hereby certify that as a result of said Special Referendum Election, the following is the YES and NO vote:

DO YOU DESIRE THE CITY OF PEN-  
SACOLA TO CHANGE ITS PRESENT  
SYSTEM OF ELECTION FOR THE OFFICE  
OF COUNCILMAN OF THE CITY OF PEN-  
SACOLA BY REQUIRING COUNCILMEN  
TO BE ELECTED WITHIN THE CITY OF  
PENSACOLA AT LARGE?

YES	1722
NO	307
TOTAL VOTES CAST	2029

BOARD OF CANVASSERS  
SPECIAL REFERENDUM  
ELECTION OCTOBER 6, 1959

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/

Chairman

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/

City Attorney

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/

City Clerk-Comptroller

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/

Registration and Election  
Committee

## CHAPTER

59-1730

(House Bill No. 2418)

AN ACT RELATING TO THE CITY OF PENSACOLA, PROVIDING FOR THE CREATION, COMPOSITION, TERM, VACANCIES AND QUALIFICATIONS AND METHOD OF ELECTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PENSACOLA, FLORIDA; AND AMENDING CHAPTER 15425, LAWS OF FLORIDA, SPECIAL ACTS OF 1931; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE UPON THE HOLDING OF A REFERENDUM OF THE QUALIFIED VOTERS OF THE CITY OF PENSACOLA.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA:

SECTION 1. That Section 4 of Chapter 15425, Laws Of Florida, Special Acts of 1931, be, and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

SECTION 4. COUNCIL CREATION; COMPOSITION; TERM; VACANCIES; QUALIFICATIONS.

Except as otherwise provided in this Charter, all powers of the city shall be vested in a council of ten (10) members, two (2) from each of five (5) wards into which the city is divided by this act, both of whom shall be resident qualified voters within the ward, both elected by the qualified voters of the city at large in the manner hereinafter provided in this act or otherwise provided by amendments to this act or by special act of the legislature. Within each ward of the City of Pensacola one (1) councilman shall be designated as the representative of Group I of said ward and the other and remaining councilman shall be designated as the representative of Group II of said ward, and all candidates offering themselves for election to the office of Councilman shall designate which group

he or she shall represent and shall be a candidate for said representative as a member of the City Council of the City of Pensacola. The term of each member of the council shall be for two years and shall begin on the second Monday of June, except as hereinafter provided for the council chosen at the first election, next following their election. If a vacancy occur in the council, it shall be filled for the unexpired term of such member by a majority of the remaining councilmen, and such vacancies shall be filled within ten (10) days after such vacancy occurs. Members of the council shall be qualified electors of the city and shall not hold any other office, except that of notary public or member of the state militia. A member of the council ceasing to possess any of the qualifications specified in this section, or convicted of crime, while in office, shall immediately forfeit his office.

30 April 59

**COUNCIL ENDORSES PROPOSED CHANGES**

Legislation Lifts  
Ad Valorem Limits  
By Paul Jasper

Pensacola City Council this morning unanimously endorsed proposed legislation which would create extensive changes in the operation of the city government.

One bill would be to abolish the monetary limitation the city now has on ad valorem taxes.

Under the present set-up, the city can only collect some \$500,000 per year on property taxes but under the new bill this restriction would be removed.

They also voted to unanimously oppose a change in the millage limitation from 10 mills to five mills. A Chamber of Commerce committee has suggested that the five mill limitations be imposed. The city officials want to keep it at 10 mills.

They also approved a change in the city charter which would allow all candidates for the City Council to run at-large instead of from individual districts and to elect two councilmen from each district.

Councilmen in the districts would run in groups with one man elected from each group.

The charter amendment would also increase councilmen's salaries from \$5 a month to \$100 a month and the Mayor's salary from \$100 to \$200 a month and the Mayor's salary from \$100 to \$300 a month if he is also a councilman. on oc-

(Turn to COUNCIL — Page 2A)



## TEN CITY WARDS ARE PROPOSED FOR MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

Pensacola City Council has approved several suggested legislative changes in the charter which would affect the city's financial affairs and also the membership of that governing body. One of the more interesting is that which would require all members of the council to run at large, replacing the present system whereby one from each ward is chosen at large and the second by the voters of the ward involved.

City wide elections we believe, would have a beneficial effect. We advocated for years the similar county wide selection of commissioners, thus requiring incumbents to labor for the welfare of the entire community, rather than for the restricted district he represented. In Escambia the results have been praiseworthy. They should be for the city as well.

Since the council is considering this change in electing its membership a companion proposal should be worthy of consideration. The present 10-member council appears to be the ideal workable size, large enough to include the varied views of a comprehensive body, yet not so large as to be unwieldy.

But why should the entire city select two members from each ward who conceivably may have the same views and interests and reside in the same block? Would it not be wiser to re-divide the city into 10 wards, instead of the present five, thus creating a wider dispersal of the council's membership and resulting in a more accurate representation of the various residential, commercial and industrial sectors of the municipality?

Pensacola is growing and apparently will continue to grow at an outstanding rate in area, population and the

variety of its activities. It was not always so. All of these factors were quite limited at the time the city was divided into five wards, roughly comparable, population-wise. Corrective revisions in the boundaries have been made from time to time and today the wards are quite large and the population interests varied.

A further subdivision into 10 wards, each represented by one councilman who understands its needs and interests would provide a much more diversified city body. The requirement that city-wide approval of each member must be obtained would nullify any fears that a local popular but obviously incompetent person would be chosen.

The wider dispersal of council membership probably would provide a stronger inducement for many of the existing suburban communities to merge with the city since it would be evident that their chances of getting an adequate voice in the city's direction would be enhanced.

Sept. 10, 1959

## Oct. 6 Question

## CITY-WIDE VOTE FOR COUNCILMEN?

By Maurice Harling

Should the city charter be changed so that all 10 members of the Pensacola City Council will be elected by a citywide vote?

This is the question which the more than 10,500 registered voters in the City of Pensacola are to decide at a special city election to be held on Oct. 6.

Polls will be open in each of the 39 precincts within the city. Those who were registered with the city at the time of the city elections last May are eligible to vote.

The proposal provides the following system:

1—The city shall be divided into five wards—the same as at present.

2—Two members of the council are to be elected from each ward. They must be residents and qualified voters in the ward for which they are candidates.

3—Candidates from each ward will qualify for election from either Group 1 to Group 2.

4—Voters from the entire city will vote for candidates in both groups in each of the five wards. (In other words all 10 councilmen will be elected by a city wide vote with two being elected from each ward.)

Under the present system the city is divided into five wards. One candidate from each of the five wards is elected by a city-wide vote. Then each of the five wards elects a councilman with only those residing in a ward voting for the candidate "from within" that ward.

As a result under the present system out of a total of 10

councilmen, five are elected by citywide voters and five are elected from within wards (one from each of the five wards.)

The present system has been in effect since 1931. That was the year the legislature passed the law to provide a charter for a city manager type of government for Pensacola. The charter did not become effective, however, until approved at a special election.

The legislature during the last session passed the law to provide for changing the system so all 10 councilmen would be elected at large. The bill provided, however, that the new system could not go into effect unless approved by the voters of the city. The bill also provided the election must be held within six months after it was approved in the governor's office, which was June 30.

The members of the city council last March requested the three local members of the legislature, Sen. Philip D. Beall, Rep. George Stone and Rep. Reubin O'D. Askew, to pass the bill to provide for electing all 10 members of the council by a citywide vote. The legislators agreed but said as this changes the basic law of the city, the charter, that the people should approve any such change before it becomes effective. That is the reason for the election.

Another organization which endorsed the legislation for making the change was the Greater Pensacola Chamber of Commerce.

Up to the time there has been little campaigning concerning the election. It is known that a few who oppose it are quietly contacting personal friends in an effort to get them to go to the polls and defeat the change.

Proponents of the change say that because all business conducted by the council affects the entire city, then the 10 councilmen should be elected citywide.

Opponents reply that the present system is more

satisfactory as each ward is now represented by a person who is elected citywide and therefore considers business from the standpoint of the entire city, while the councilmen elected from within the wards can "look out" for the interests of the people of that ward.

Proponents contend that the system of electing county commissioners by a countywide vote instead of by districts has proven a success in that commissioners consider business on a basis of the entire county instead of individual districts. This eliminates considerable maneuvering.

Opponents reply that under the citywide system voters of an entire city could elect a councilman from a ward which the voters of that ward do not want to represent them.

Proponents say that since councilmen now receive a salary of \$100 plus \$25 per month expenses that those who serve and receive their pay from taxes paid from the entire city should be elected by voters of the city. Opponents contend the councilmen earn the same salary whether elected within wards or by voters of the entire city.

Proponents contend a higher class of candidates will qualify under the citywide system while opponents contend that will make no difference.

SUNDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 1959

### Councilmen Should Face City-Wide Voter Choice

PENSACOLA VOTERS face three elections in the next two months. The first, on Oct. 6, deals with a change in the city charter to require election of all city councilmen by city-wide vote.

The second date is Nov. 3. Then a state-wide election on the reapportionment amendment will be combined with a county school millage and trustee election and a referendum on whether commercial fishing shall be prohibited in Davenport Bayou.

The closest in point of time and perhaps greatest in local interest is the city referendum on the charter change. Since the charter was adopted in 1931 the ten councilmen have been elected from five wards, two from each ward. One of the two is chosen entirely by voters within the ward, the other by voters from the whole city.

The proposal would change that plan so that all ten councilmen would be elected by all city voters, though each ward would still have two representatives. In other words a councilman now chosen by only those in his ward would have to win favor throughout the municipality.

This would be an advantageous change for at least two reasons. One reason is that small groups which might dominate one ward could not choose a councilman. Thus one ward might conceivably elect a Negro councilman, although the city as a whole would not. This probably is the prime reason behind the proposed change.

However, the best argument for the change, the one which we offer, is that all councilmen would be responsible to all city voters, not merely to those in their particular section. Councilmen should have a city-wide viewpoint, not a localized outlook.

We favored such representation in the county, both for school board members and for county commissioners. Prior to the Minimum Foundation Law, school board members were chosen by districts, equivalent to city wards. This change was helpful as it widened horizons and banished petty district politics. A vote in Century became as important as a vote in Pensacola.

Later, the News Journal attempted by legislation to have county commissioners elected county-wide, instead of by districts, because the district plan made each commissioner more concerned with his district while roads and other problems crossing district lines were neglected. Legislation failed, but a suit brought by citizens resulted in the Supreme Court deciding the district election was invalid. Now commissioners over the state are chosen by county-wide vote and we think it has resulted in great improvement.

Therefore, the same principle should apply to city councilmen. Our ten municipal directors should be persons who consider the total welfare of the city. The charter change would insure that quality.

## ALL CAN HAVE RIGHT TO VOTE ON TEN CITY COUNCILMEN

Oct. 6, 1959

Do you want to have a say as to all of the ten councilmen who direct the affairs of Pensacola?

If so you had better go to the polls today and cast your ballot for the proposed change in the city charter which will give you that privilege.

At present you vote on only six of the ten councilmen. You vote on five of those running at large and one in your own ward.

If the proposed change is approved by you and others like you, you will have the opportunity to vote on all ten of the councilmen.

We believe it is better for all of the people to have a say in the election of all the councilmen, rather than being restricted to just six of them. In many instances eight votes are necessary to enact a measure.

This proposal does not mean that all sections of the city would not be represented on the council. Each ward, approximately one fifth of the population, still would have two representatives on the council.

Councilmen would have to qualify from one of the five wards as they do now. There would be no chance of one section of the city having more councilmen than another. But each section would have a vote as to who those representatives from another section would be.

This is the better way, for each councilman votes on matters which are of vital importance to all of the city, not to his own ward only. If councilmen think merely of their own sections of the city and disregard the needs of other sections we cannot have a sound, well developed municipality.



With everyone in the city voting for all councilmen, neither could some group which represents a minority in the city but which constitutes a majority in one ward dominate a ward election.

Every city councilman should have the welfare of all of the people and all of the city at heart. See that we have such councilmen by taking the few minutes required to visit your polling place today and pull the lever for city-wide election of all councilmen.

## ELECTION CHANGE SOUGHT

Oct. 6, 1959

## CITY GOES TO POLLS TODAY

Proposed Plan Would Abolish 'Within' Vote

All Ten Councilmen Would be Elected  
On City-Wide Basis

(See precincts on page 5A)

Pensacolians will decide Tuesday whether to elect all members of future City Councils by a city-wide vote or to continue the present system.

Two councilmen will be elected from each ward as in the past if the new system is adopted. But all voters in the city will cast ballots for all candidates. Voters within a ward elect one of the councilmen from that ward under the present system. The other runs at large.

If the new system is approved, 10 councilmen will be elected by all voters. At present, five members are elected by all voters and five by voters in the five separate wards.

Polls will be open from 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. Tuesday. About 11,000 persons are eligible to vote.

All taverns and liquor stores in the city will remain closed during voting hours. No alcoholic beverages will be sold inside the city until after 7 p.m.

The present Council requested the 1959 Florida Legislature to change the form of electing city councilmen in Pensacola. The Escambia County legislative delegation sponsored the request in the Legislature.

The Legislature approved the move, subject to approval by the voters of the city.

30 April 1959

**Council Endorses Proposed Changes****Legislation Lifts  
Ad Valorem Limit  
By Paul Jasper**

Pensacola City Council this morning unanimously endorsed proposed legislation which would create extensive changes in the operation of the city government.

One bill would be to abolish the monetary limitation the city now has on ad valorem taxes.

Under the present set-up, the city can only collect some \$500,000 per year on property taxes but under the new bill this restriction would be removed.

They also voted to unanimously oppose a change in the millage limitation from 10 mills to five mills. A Chamber of Commerce committee has suggested that the five mill limitation be imposed. The City officials want to keep it at 10 milss.

They also approved a change in their charter which would allow all candidates for the City Council at-large instead of from individual district and to elect two councilmen from each district.

Councilmen in the districts would run in groups with one man elected from each group.

The charter amendment would also increase councilmen's salaries from \$50 a month to \$100 a month and the Mayor's salary from \$100 to \$200 a month and the Mayors' salary from \$100 to \$300 a month if he is also a councilman. On oc-  
(next p.)

## CITY-WIDE ELECTION OF COUNCIL GIVEN AP

2,029 Voters Decide Issue, 19722 to 307

Votes Wipes Out Present Within, At Large System

Pensacolians decided overwhelmingly Tuesday to elect all 10 City Council members by city-wide vote.

The margin was 1,722 to 307.

The landslide wiped out the present voting system of five councilmen being elected by a city-wide vote and five by voters in each of the separate five wards.

Those who favored the new system hailed it as assuring a high-caliber Council.

The change was requested by the Council itself last year, was passed by the state Legislature and put to a referendum to become effective.

First use of the new system will be in the 1961 city election when all 10 City Council posts will be up for grabs.

Tuesday's total vote of 2,029 surpassed pre-election expectations.

It fell far short of the number that could have turned out, however, with 10,874 persons eligible.

All except one of the city's 30 precincts voted in favor of the change.

Precinct 80, a predominantly Negro area around the J. Lee Pickens School, cast 10 votes against the change and 7 for.

The issue wasn't even close in the other precincts.

Even though all councilmen will be elected by a city-

wide vote. There still must be two from each ward, as at present.

**PLAINTIFF EXHIBIT No. 66****County Boards & Committees**

Name	White	Black
1. Airport Zoning Ordinance Commision	14	-
2. Housing for Ambulance Service	5	-
3. Board of Adjustments & Appeals for Sow. Std. Building Code	6	1
4. Board of Adj. & App. for Subd. Regulations	5	-
5. Boating Improvement Program	15	-
6. Community Schools Concept Committee	5	-
7. Construction Industry Competency Bd.	12	-
8. Courthouse Renovation Committee	5	-
9. Damage Survey Team	5	-
10. Electrical Examiner Board	3	-
11. Electrical Code Committee	5	-
12. Emergency Medical Services Advisroy Council	25	1
13. Flood Plain Management	6	-
14. Gas Examiners Board	4	-
15. County Health Facilities Authority	4	1
16. Gulf Islands National Seashore	2	-
17. Juvenile Justice Committee	8	-
18. Comprehensive Land Use Plan	10	-
19. Land Use Regulations Comm.	5	-
20. Landfill Site Acquisition	5	-
21. Land Use Study Committee	10	-

## County Boards &amp; Committees

Name	White	Black
22. Lot Coverage Board of Review	5	-
23. Manpower Advisory Committee	14	7
24. O.E.D.P. Committee	15	2
25. 911 Committee	16	-
26. PUATS Task Force Committee	13	-
27. Plumbing Code Committee	3	-
28. Plumbing Exam. & Control Board	6	-
29. Santa Rosa Island Authority	5	-
30. Expansion of Sheriff's Authority	9	-
31. Subdivision Regulations Review Board	11	-
32. Tourist Development Council	9	-
33. Youth Advisory Council	30	8
34. Bikeways Coordinating Committee	14	-
35. Charter Government Study Commission	4	1
36. Budget and Finance Advisory Committee	21	2
37. PUATS Citizens Advisory Committee	23	-
38. PUATS Policy Committee	12	-
39. PUATS Technical Committee	26	-
<hr/> 395 23		

**EXHIBIT 70 Excerpt**  
**1976-77 Annual Budget of Escambia**

**ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA**

**MILLAGE RATE BY FUND AND ASSESSED VALUE**  
**FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 1977**  
**With Comparative Amounts For 1975 and 1976**

	Millage 1974-75	Millage 1975-76	Millage 1976-77
General Fund	4.72	4.89	6.71
Road Fund	1.64	1.83	1.13
Health Fund	.36	.36	.38
Hospital Fund	.88	.47	1.76
Total Millage	7.60	7.55	9.98

COUNTY	1975	1976
Real Estate	\$1,202,447,939	\$1,291,097,909
Personal Property	424,495,700	447,125,400
Railroads and Telegraph	10,801,130	11,847,808
TOTAL (City Included)	<u>\$1,637,744,769</u>	<u>\$1,750,071,117</u>

CITY OF PENSACOLA		
Real Estate	\$ 297,990,741	\$ 305,032,368
Personal Property	97,965,950	102,282,810
Railroads and Telegraph	2,932,338	1,962,199
TOTAL	<u>\$ 398,889,029</u>	<u>\$ 409,277,377</u>

Downtown Improvement Fund District - Real Estate	<u>\$ 35,360,230</u>	<u>\$ 35,766,856</u>
---	----------------------	----------------------

CITY OF SOUTH FLOMATON		
Real Estate Estate	\$ 1,009,170	\$ 1,015,710
Personal Property	738,300	758,010
Railroads and Telegraph	161,626	176,115
TOTAL	<u>\$ 1,909,096</u>	<u>\$ 1,949,835</u>

POPULATION	1975	1976
Population of County - Outside Cities	173,284	173,284
Population of City of Pensacola	60,705	60,705
Population of City of South Flomaton	465	465
	<u>234,454</u>	<u>234,454</u>



**EXHIBIT 71****SUMMARY ANALYSIS (County Recreation)**

BLACK	36.6	Acres
WHITE	363.30	Acres
MIXED	217.14	Acres

**ATTACHMENT 1. Legends****\* Legend:**

- A — Active — organized recreation program
- P — Passive — no organized recreation program

**\*\* Legend:**

1. Single letters denotes one of specified items.
  2. Letter with number, A[2], denotes the number of items.
- A. Baseball Field — youth
  - B. Baseball Field — adult
  - C. Baseball Field — practice
  - D. Softball Field — youth
  - E. Softball Field — adult
  - F. Football Field — suitable for competition
  - G. Football Field — practice
  - H. Basketball Court — clay
  - I. Basketball Court — hard surface (asphalt or concrete)
  - J. Tennis Court — clay
  - K. Tennis Court — hard surface (asphalt or concrete)
  - L. Merry-Go-Round
  - M. Swing Set
  - N. Climber
  - O. Slide

- P. Picnic Table and/or Bench
- Q. Picnic Pavillion
- R. Bar-B-Q Grill
- S. Camp Site — primitive
- T. Camp Site — Electricity and water available
- U. Sanitary Dumping Station
- V. Horse Arena — rodeo or western
- W. Horse Arena — English
- X. Horse Arena — jumping
- Y. Boat Ramp — freshwater
- Z. Boat Ramp — salt water
- RR — Rest Rooms
- RC — Recreation Center
- CS — Concession Stand

### \*\*\* Maintenance Cost

Maintenance cost is not broken down to individual park. Salaries, supplies, tools, maintenance equipment, and all other necessary costs are used for maintenance of all parks. Listed below is the total budget for the Escambia County Parks and Recreation Department for the past three years.

	County Funds	Revenue Sharing
1974-75	\$138,793.00	\$200,000.00
1975-1976	\$121,835.00	\$ 50,000.00
1976-1977	\$ 85,796.00	\$ 0.

# ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA ANNUAL BUDGET 1976-77

## ANALYSIS OF EXPENDITURES BY FUNCTION AND PROGRAM

## \*\*DIVISION OF EXPENDITURES FROM LOCALLY RAISED RECEIPTS BETWEEN INCORPORATED AND UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF THE COUNTY

	Amount	Percent of Budget	Unincorporated Area of County	City of Pensacola	City of South Flomaton	Not Applicable
<b>General Government</b>						
Legislative & Administrative	\$ 2,091,301	5.23	\$ 1,204,939	\$ 422,079	\$ 3,261	\$ 461,022
Finance & Taxation	1,736,110	4.34	1,000,401	350,433	2,707	382,569
Judicial	8,263,751	20.66	4,761,711	1,667,985	12,885	1,821,170
Elections	295,281	.74	170,030	59,560	460	65,231
Service Departments	809,515	2.02	466,708	163,484	1,261	178,062
Building Maintenance	529,768	1.32	305,551	107,033	826	116,358
Planning & Development	336,274	.84	193,811	67,892	525	74,046
Other General Government	437,844	1.10	251,944	88,253	682	96,965
<b>Public Safety</b>						
Police Protection	\$ 4,497,866	11.25	\$ 2,591,420	\$ 907,751	\$ 7,012	\$ 991,683
Fire Control	11,847	.03	6,801	2,382	19	2,645
Corrections	880,132	2.20	507,173	177,658	1,372	193,929
Protective Inspection	283,577	.71	163,334	57,215	442	62,586
Other Protection	544,377	1.36	313,744	109,901	849	119,883

**ANALYSIS OF EXPENDITURES  
BY FUNCTION AND PROGRAM**

**\*\*DIVISION OF EXPENDITURES FROM LOCALLY RAISED  
RECEIPTS BETWEEN INCORPORATED AND UNINCORPORATED  
AREAS OF THE COUNTRY**

	Amount	Percent of Budget	Unincorporated Area of County	City of Pensacola	City of South Flomaton	Not Applicable
<b>Public Works</b>						
<b>Road &amp; Construction</b>						
Maintenance	\$ 2,546,269	6.37	\$ 1,466,933	\$ 513,853	\$ 3,970	\$ 561,513
Sanitation	676,838	1.69	390,145	136,664	1,056	148,973
<b>Health, Welfare &amp; Social Services</b>						
Health	\$ 4,227,196	10.57	\$ 2,435,671	\$ 853,193	\$ 6,591	\$ 931,741
Welfare	1,528,145	3.82	880,574	308,457	2,383	336,731
Economic Assistance	1,892,717	4.73	1,090,741	382,076	2,952	416,948
Other Health, Welfare & Social Services	1	—	1	—	—	—

PARKS	Total Acreage	County Employees Permanent	County Employees Part-Time	Type of Program Offered	Initial Cost and Date of Construct.	Type of Facilities	Maintenance Cost 1974-1977 ***	Capital Improvements since 1950		Maintenance & Program Cost-Federal Funding 1974-1977
								County Funds	Federal Funds	
Aero Vista Park Picnic Tables Marine Drive and Milton Road Warrington	0.7	0	0	P	Platted 1946	Slide		0	0	0
Ashton Brosnahan Recreation Center Picnic Tables	109.04	0	1 Caretaker (Contractual Agreement)	P	Deeded By U.S. Gov.	Horse-Rodeo V, W, X, Q		\$2,276.00	\$66,200.00	0
Avondale Park	7.78	0	0	P	Leased from City (\$1.00 per Year 1973	Merry Go Rn L, M(2), N, P, C Baseball TBL Swing Climb Picnic		\$1,402.00	0	0
Haars Field (Operated by Gulf Beach Recreation Association)	20.00	0	0		1921	Footbl, Basebl G, C(2), A(2), RR, CS, M(2), N, P Rest Rm Swing Concessn Stand		\$4,448.00	\$ 5,757.00	0
Bayou Marcus Heights	2.00	0	0	P	Platted 1954	Slide 0		0	0	0

PARKS	Total Acreage	County Employees Permanent	County Employees Part-Time	Type of Program Offered *	Initial Cost and Date of Construct.	Type of Facilities **	Maintenance Cost 1974-1977 ***	Capital Improvements Since 1950	Maintenance & Program Cost-Federal Funding 1974-1977
							County Funds	Federal Funds	
Bluff Springs Public Park	2.00	0	0	P	Platted 1904	0	0	0	0
Bratt Middle School	1.0	0	0	P	Owned by School Board "Community School" 1976	K Tennis Court	0	\$ 3,239.00	0
Brent Park (Leased to Brent Recreation Association)	20.0	0	0		1926	F,A(4),D,C(4) M,P(2),CS(2) RR(4)	0	0	0
Brentwood Park	5.00	0	0	P	Platted 1926	K,M,N,C,H	\$ 830.00	\$11,000.00	0
Campbell Landing	1.00	0	0	P	Donation 1976	Boat Ramp Y	\$ 500.00	0	0
Cantonment/Ensley Jaycee Park (Santa Maria Plaza) Operated by Cantonment/Ensley Jaycees	5.00	0	0		Platted 1924	Concessn Stand Rest Rm SoftBl CS,RR,E.	By Donation	0	0

PARKS	Total Average	County Employees Permanent	County Employees Part-Time	Type of Program Offered	Initial Cost and Date of Construct.	Type of Facilities	Maintenance Cost 1974-1977 ***	Capital Improvements since 1950		Maintenance & Program Cost Federal Funding 1974-1977
								County Funds	Federal Funds	
Carver Middle School	3.00	0	0	P	Owned by School Board "Community School" 1976	C Basebl practice		\$ 150.00	0	0
Carver Park	2.00	0	0	P	Platted 1943	Swing Climber M(2),L,N,O,P Slide Picnic TBL Merry Go Rn		\$4,333.00	\$ 303.00	0
Century Elementary School	2.00	0	0	P	Owned by School Board "Community School" 1976	K Tennis Court		0	\$11,000.00	0
Charbar Lake Park	2.00	0	0	P	Leased from City (\$1.00 per year) 1976	0 Slide		0	0	0

PARKS	Total Average	County Employees Permanent	County Employees Part-Time	Type of Program Offered	Initial Cost and Date of Construct.	Type of Facilities	Maintenance Cost 1974-1977 ***	Capital Improvements since 1950		Maintenance & Program Cost Federal Funding 1974-1977
								County Funds	Federal Funds	
Chimes Way	5.00	0	0	P	Platted 1926	Baschl Pract C(2)		0	0	0
Civitan Park (leased to Warrington Civitan Club)	2.00	0	0		Platted 1933	Recreation Cntr Football Pract RC,G,M,N,O Swing	0	By Donation	0	0
Corry Park #2	1.00	0	0	P	Platted 1940	0		0	0	0
Corry Park #1	2.00	0	0	P	Platted 1940	Merry Go Rnd Swing Slide L,M,O,P Picnic		By Donation \$ 100.00	0	0
Country Club Estates	1.50	0	0	P		O Slide		0	0	0



PARKS	Total Acreage	County Employees Permanent	County Employees Part-Time	Type of Program Offered *	Initial Cost and Date of Construct.	Type of Facilities ..	Maintenance Cost 1974-1977 ...	Capital Improvements since 1950		Maintenance & Program Cost Federal Funding 1974-1977
								County Funds	Federal Funds	
Don Sutton Recreation Park (Operated by Molino Recreation Association)	5 Acres County 15 Acres leased from State DOT 15,000	0	0		0 1972	G, A, C, S, R, R, M, N, O, P Foothl pr Basebl Cnces Str Rest Rm Swing Climber Picnic		\$4,970.00	0	0
Dorrie Miller Park (Operated by DEPT. of Human Resources	5.00				Platted 1945	L, M, N, O, R, C.  C, J, I Rec Cntr Merry Go Rn. Slide Swing Bask Basebl Tenn		\$ 75.00	\$13,600.00	0
Elmer Grimsley Park Barrington Elementary School	7.00	0	0		Owned by School Board "Community School" 1976	Tennis Court K		0	\$11,000.00	0

PARKS	Total Acreage	County Employees Permanent	County Employees Part-time	Type of Program Offered *	Initial Cost and Date of Construct.	Type of Facilities ..	Maintenance Cost 1974-1977 ...	Capital Improvements Since 1950		Maintenance & Program Cost-Federal Funding 1974-1977
								County Funds	Federal Funds	
Englewood Park (Operated by the Dept. of Human Resources)	5.00	0				RC, M(2), L, N.I Climber Rec Cntr	Swing Basket Merry	\$ 4,309.00	0	0
Escambia Park	4.00	0	0	P	\$26,500 ? 1975	O Slide		0	0	0
Fairfield Park	1.30	0	0	P	Platted 1958	O		By Donation	0	0
Forest Park	0.12	0	0	P	Platted 1955	O		0	0	0
Forte Estates	2.00	0	0	P	Platted 1959	O		0	0	0
Galvez Landing (Gulf Beach Heights)	2.00	0	0	P	Platted 1921	Boat Ramp Z(2)		(State) \$17,700.00	0	0
Harvesters Homes Park	0.6		0	P	Platted 1953	Merry Go Rn L.M Swing		By Donation	0	0

PARKS	Total Acreage	County Employees Permanent	County Employees Part-time	Type of Program Offered *	Initial Cost and Date of Construct.	Type of Facilities **	Maintenance Cost 1974-1977 ***	Capital Improvements since 1950	Maintenance & Program Cost-Federal Funding 1974-1977	
							County Funds	Federal Funds		
Heritage Park	3.00	0	0	P	Leased from Heritage Church 1976	Basebl youth A,M,N,I. Swing Climber Merry Go Rn	\$ 690.00	\$ 364.00	0	
Lake Stone	204.94	0	0 Caretaker Contractual Agreement	P	1968	Footbl Pract Camp Site T(78)P(15), G,M,N,O,RR, K,Y climb swing	Tennis Slide Restm Boat	\$25,087.00	\$ 6,370.00	0
Lakewood Park	1.50	0	0	P	Platted 1949	O	0	0	0	
Laurel Park	3.00	0	0	P	Platted 1958	O	0	0	0	
Lincoln Park	5.00		0	P	1971	I,M,N,O swing Basketbl Slide Merry Go Rn	\$ 4,752.00	0	0	
Magnolia Park (Leased to Ensley Lions Club) to	1.00	0	0	P	Platted 1905	M(2),O,P(4)N Merry		By Donation	0	

PARKS	Total Average	County Employees Permanent	County Employees Part-Time	Type of Program Offered	Initial Cost and Date of Construct.	Type of Facilities ..	Maintenance Cost 1974-1977 ***	Capital Improvements since 1950		Maintenance & Program Cost-Federal Funding 1974-1977
								County Funds	Federal Funds	
Mayfair Park #2	1.00	0	0	P	Platted 1954	O		0	0	0
Mayfair Park #1	10.00	0	0	P	1956	C(3),M(2),N,O,L Basebl Swing	Slide Merry	\$1,278.00	0	0
Fallview Boat Ramp	0.2		0	P	State IDOT R/W	Y(1)	Boat Ramp	0	0	0
Meadowbrook Park #2	0.9	0	0	P	Platted 1955	N	Climb	0	0	0
Minnehaha Park and Community Playground	1.3	0	0	P	Platted 1926	O	Slide	0	0	0
Molino Town Park	2.0		0	P	Platted 1919	Y(2),G	Boat Ramp	State (\$17,700.00) \$ 1,000.00	0	0

PARKS	Total Acreage	County Employees Permanent	County Employees Part-Time	Type of Program Offered	Initial Cost and Date of Construct.	Type of Facilities	Maintenance Cost 1974-1977 ***	Capital Improvements Since 1950		Maintenance & Program Cost Federal Funding 1974-1977
								County Funds	Federal Funds	
Myrtle Grove Jaycee Park (Formerly Meadowbrook Park #1)	2.0	0	0	P	Platted 1955	L,M(2),N	Merry Swing Climb	0	0	0
Old Carver Elementary School (Operated by Dept. of Human Resources)	3.00	0			Owned by School Board "Community School" 1976	L,M,N,O,P(4),I	merry Swing Climb Slide Picnic TBL	\$ 1,361.00	\$5,667.00	0
Navy Point	60.00	0	0	P	Platted 1945	Z(2)	Boat Ramp	0	0	0
Oak Park	0.6	0	0	P	Platted 1955	M,P(2)	Picnic Swing	By Donation	0	0
Oakcrest Park	1.5	0	0	P	Platted 1954	C,L,M	Swing Slide Climb	Basebl pract \$ 590.00	0	0

- 1 Aero Vista Park:  
Between Marine Drive and Milton Road  
(Warrington)
- 2 Ashton Brosnahan Recreation Center:  
West of Ten Mile Road — West of Chemstrand  
Road
- 3 Avondale Park:  
East of Muldoon Road, off of Vestavia Lane
- 4 Baars Field (Gulf Beach Recreation Assoc.):  
Near Intersection of State Highway 295 and 292
- 5 Bayou Marcus Heights:  
Between Carroll, King, and Lenora Streets
- 6 Bluff Springs Public Park:  
Block 10 and 37 of Bluff Springs Subdivision
- 7 Bratt Middle School (Tennis Court only):  
Bratt
- 8 Brent Park (Brent Recreation Assoc.):  
Beverly Parkway and Allerton
- 9 Brentwood Park:  
Pensacola Boulevard between Jacquelyn and  
Virginia
- 10 Campbell Landing:  
Escambia River at Highway 4
- 11 Cantonment/Ensley Jaycee Park:  
Santa Maria Plaza, Center of Cantonment
- 12 Carver Park:  
Cantonment, at intersection of Washington Street  
and Webb Street

- 13 Century Elementary School (Tennis court only):  
Century
- 14 Century Wayside Park:  
Highway 29 at Century
- 15 Charbar Lake Park:  
(Owned by City) corner of Charbar Drive and  
Broyhill
- 16 Chimes Way:  
Two blocks South of Beverly Parkway between  
Chimes and Concordia
- 17 Civitan Park (Warrington Civitan Club):  
Sunset and Second Street
- 18 Corry Park 2:  
North of Barrancas on Druid Drive and Corry  
Place
- 19 Corry Park 1:  
North of Barrancas Avenue between Park Drive  
and Manchester Street
- 20 Don Sutton Recreation Park (Molino Recreation  
Assoc.):  
Molino, West of Highway 29 at intersection of  
Highway S-97 on Sunshine Hill Road
- 21 Dorie Miller Park:  
North of Leonard Street on East and West of  
Miller Street
- 22 Elmer Grimsley Park (Warrington Elementary  
School):  
Navy Boulevard

- 23 Englewood Park:  
South of Leonard, west of "H" Street
- 24 Escambia Park:  
East end of Beggs Lane
- 25 Fairfield Park:  
East of 50th Street on Tulip Drive
- 26 Forest Park:  
South of Clairmont Drive
- 27 Forte Estates:  
West of 77th Avenue, South of Barrington Court
- 28 Galvez Landing (Gulf Beach Heights):  
Between Cruzat and Galvez on Intercoastal  
Waterway
- 29 Harvesters Homes Park:  
East of Lakeview Avenue at Forrest Street
- 30 Heritage Park:  
Highway 297, South of Kingsfield Road
- 31 Lake Stone:  
One mile west of Highway 29 on Highway 4,  
Century
- 32 Lakewood Park:  
North of Lakewood Road at intersection of  
Mandalay
- 33 Laurel Park:  
North of Avery Street, between Orleans and Archer  
Avenue
- 34 Lincon Park:  
In Lincoln Subdivision on Fiesta Drive



- 35 Magnolia Park (Ensley Lions Club):  
Between Detroit and Montgomery at Alabama Avenue
- 36 Mayfair Park: 2  
In South Madison Drive
- 37 Mayfair Park: 1  
Corner of Massachusetts Avenue and Fenned
- 38 Meadowbrook Park 2:  
East of Teldor Drive between Colby avenue and Benton Road
- 39 Minnehaha Park and Community Playground:  
Between Talladega and Sioux Trail
- 40 Molino Town Park:  
East of Molino on Escambia River
- 41 Murr Heights:  
North and South of Elm Street, West of Warrington Road
- 42 Myrtle Grove Jaycee Park:  
South of Lillian Highway in Myrtle Grove on Adkinson Drive
- 43 North Mulnorth:  
North of Galvez at intersection of Wentworth
- 44 Old Carver Elementary School:  
(Owned by School Board) Century
- 45 Navy Point:  
Next to water, North and South of Senset Drive
- 46 Oak Park:  
North of Hickory East of Spruce Street

- 47 Oakcrest Park:  
North of Fairfield Drive between Opal Avenue and  
Topaz Avenue
- 48 Oakfield Acres 2:  
(Owned by City) Intersection of Royal Land and  
White Oak Drive
- 49 Oakfield Acres 1:  
(Owned by City) Intersection of Royal Land and  
White Oak Drive
- 50 Osceola Lodge Reservation and Community  
Playground:  
Corner of Cherokee Trail and West Commanche  
Trail
- 51 Parish Heights:  
Between Sandra Drive and Benton Drive
- 52 Perdido Gardens:  
North of Bay Court at intersection of Perdido  
Court
- 53 Perdido Manor:  
West of Bay Avenue, South of Live Oak  
intersection
- 54 Raymond Riddle Park (West Pensacola Dixie Youth  
Assoc.):  
Between "T" and "W" Streets at Blount Street
- 55 Regency Park:  
End of Squire Road, South of Olive Road
- 56 River Gardens Park:  
Intersection of Sugarberry Road and Crabapple  
Lane

- 57 Shady Terrace:  
East of Pompano Drive, North of Olive Road
- 58 Twelve Oaks:  
South of Wilkers Drive, Next to L & N Railroad
- 59 Waters Beach:  
Between Second and Third Streets
- 60 Wentworth Park:  
Corner of Scott and Wentworth (next to Catholic  
High School)
- 61 Wildewood:  
West of Bristol Avenue, North of Medford

**PLAINTIFF EXHIBIT #73**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
PENSACOLA DIVISION

78-3507

HENRY T. McMILLAN, et al.,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	CIVIL ACTION
	)	No. 77-0432
vs.	)	
	)	
ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA, et al.,	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

ELMER JENKINS, et al.,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	CIVIL ACTION
	)	NO. 77-0433
vs.	)	
	)	
CITY OF PENSACOLA, FLORIDA,	)	
et al.,	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

**NOTICE OF FILING**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of the filing with the Clerk of the Court a verbatim transcript of statements made by and to Defendant Board of County Commissioners and their Attorney during a public hearing held August 31, 1977 regarding the proposed Escambia County Charter. Although it is not clear that these particular conversations were covered by the Order of this Court, dated

August 4, 1977 requiring the parties herein to comply with proposed Local Rule 17, in an abundance of caution, the verbatim transcript of public hearing as set forth in Exhibit A hereof, is filed in accordance with such Order.

/s/ Richard I. Lott  
 Attorney for Defendant Escambia County  
 28 West Government Street  
 Pensacola, Florida

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished to J. U. Blacksher, Esquire and Larry T. T. Menefee, Esquire of Crawford, Blacksher, Figures & Brown, 1407 Davis Avenue, Mobile, Alabama 36603, Louis F. Ray, Jr., Sixth Floor, Seville Tower, 226 South Palafox Street, Pensacola, Florida 32501, and Don J. Caton, Esquire, City Hall, 330 South Jefferson Street, Pensacola, Florida 32501, by U.S. Mail this 18th day of October, 1977.

/s/ Richard I. Lott

VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT FROM PUBLIC HEARING OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS HELD AUGUST 31, 1977, HEALTH DEPARTMENT AUDITORIUM REGARDING THE PROPOSED CHARTER FOR ESCAMBIA COUNTY GOVERNMENT

*Mr. E. J. Crosswright, P.O. Box 2365, Pensacola, Florida*  
 Mr. Kelson, I'm E. J. Crosswright, and I live east of 12th Avenue. Unfortunately, I do not know who my County Commissioner is, but...

*Commissioner Jack Kenney*  
 Right here.

*Mr. Crosswright*

Thank you. The very first part where it says five members elected county wide. County wide automatically kills it for me because eventually I plan on running maybe for one of your jobs. As long as it is county wide, I can never beat Jack Kenney out. So, of it is at all possible for this to be changed, then I can get in my particular precinct... incidentally, I am precinct committman. I am going to work for it or against it, and I am going to work hard for it or against it. All other parts of this seem to be in keeping with something I can go along with. Thank you.

*Chairman Kelson*

Thank you, sir. Mr. Lott, would you like to explain how we arrived at this county wide vote? Explain why we chose to go county wide. Would you (Commissioner Deese) like to do that?

*Commissioner Charles Deese*

This was decided by the Board of Commissioners that it would be a county wide vote rather than an individual running in a district only. My personal reason for voting for this would be that I think I should represent all the people of the county and I think all the people of the county should be able to vote for me or against me. I will be having a part deciding where all the people's money shall be spent and so I think all people of the county should vote on all of the county Commissioners. That's the reason. The situation where the Commissioner has to live in the district is as it has always been.

*Mr. Crosswright*

Could I speak to that?

*Chairman Kelson*

Yes sir.

*Mr. Crosswright*

This is quite true that all the people that are elected should represent all the people; however, it is very difficult for you or anyone else to know the county, to know other than your particular district. This is why I didn't know that Kenney was a member of my particular district. This is why I do not know who my City Councilman is. What I'm saying simply is this — As long as you have it like this, you are not going to have people concerned with their particular government. You need to know people first hand. You need to be able to come into our district, your district. For instance, Jack Kenney can get elected if nobody in his district decides to vote for him, and this is wrong. No person in Jack Keeney's district could vote for him and he could be elected. You are \_\_\_\_\_. On the other hand, if you were elected and selected by members of your district, you would have to come to your district to get elected. You would be more concerned with your district. I think it is very unfair. It is something that no one can show me that I should not know who my respective representative is. As I said, I had to go to City Hall meetings to find out Mr. North... Mr. Northrup, I still don't know the name. I saw him on the stage, but other than that I have never met him, and I am precinct committeeman in my district. Jack has never come and asked me, "Look here, let's get together and get these 600 people." He doesn't need those 600 people in precinct 50. He can get elected otherwise, and I think this is wrong.

*Commissioner Kenney*

I think you have a very valid point, and I am not really very strong on county wide thing myself. I don't really feel it is that important. I feel like to a degree, it is kind of a hang over from the old Road Board that this Commission used to be where the Commissioner's only job was to take

care of paving roads in a certain district and that was all he had to worry about. We have progressed quite a ways since then, where we deal with municipal problems a great deal more since 2/3's of our population lives outside the city limits and still wants municipal services. That's one side of it. The question I would like to ask you and it would have a direct bearing — this is not — by the way, nobody has explained that tonight — this is not the final document that is going to be placed before the voters. We are coming here tonight to get ideas from the public to incorporate into the final document which will be presented in November and I would be glad to hear more from you in a personal way on what you think might be the reaction regarding additional candidates, a lot of people to choose from, and all political philosophies, liberal and conservative, and all races. I would like very much if you wouldn't mind contacting me after Labor Day — I am going to be out the first part of next week — and let's you and I sit down and talk about it because.... I went along with this thing and my mind could be changed for very good reasons and I would like to talk to you more **about it**. Would you answer this question for me now? **Do you think more people from District 4, more qualified people from my district, would be interested in local government; more interested than they are now, if we were elected on a district by district basis?**

*Mr. Crosswright*

Sir, I will assure you that they will be because I will see to it that they will.

*Commissioner Kenney*

Thank you very much for your thoughts.

*Chairman Kelson*

Mr. Lott, I think you should explain how we operate



PARKS	Total Acreage	County Employees Permanent	County Employees Part-Time	Type of Program Offered	Initial Cost and Date of Construct.	Type of Facilities	Maintenance Cost 1974-1977	Capital Improvements since 1959		Maintenance & Program Cost-Federal Funding 1974-1977
								County Funds	Federal Funds	
Oakfield Acres #1	2.0	0	0	P	Leased from City (\$1.00 per year) 1976	C	Basebl	By Donation	0	0
Oakfield Acres #2	0.8	0	0	P	Leased from City (\$1.00 per Year)		Slide	0	0	0
Osceola Lodge Reservation and Community Playground	3.0		0	P	Platted 1926	0		0	0	0
Parish Heights	1.4		0	P	Platted 1953	0		0	0	0
Perdido Gardens	1.4	0	0	P	Platted 1925	0		0	0	0
Phietwater Beach	4.5	0	0	P		Z(4)		0	0	0

PARKS	Total Acreage	County Employees Permanent	County Employees Part-Time	Type of Program Offered	Initial Cost and Date of Construct.		Maintenance Cost 1974-1977 ***	Capital Improvements Since 1950	Maintenance & Program Cost Federal Funding 1974-1977	
							County Funds	Federal Funds		
Raymond Riddle Park (Operated by West Pensacola Dixie Youth Association)	11.44	0	0		\$150.00 1975	Basebl Softbl A(2),D,G,CS,RR Footbl Baskt Concession Stnd	Restr	\$700.00	0	0
Regency Park	20.00		0	P	1971	0		0	0	0
River Gardens Park	5.00	0	0	P	Platted 1964	0		0	0	0
Shady Terrace	2.00	0	0	P	Platted 1962	Basebl C		By Donation	0	0
Sherwood Park	01.00	0	0	P	Platted 1957	Basketbl Merry I,M,C	Rest	By Donation	0	0
Wedgewood School	02.00	0	0	P	Community School 1976	L,M(2),N Merry Go Rn Swing Climber		By Donation	0	0

PARKS	Total Acreage	County Employees Permanent	County Employees Part-Time	Type of Program Offered	Initial Cost and Date of Construct.	Type of Facilities	Maintenance Cost 1974-1977 ***	Capital Improvements since 1950		Maintenance & Program Cost-Federal Funding 1961-1977
								County Funds	Federal Funds	
Wentworth Park	07.00	0	0	P	Leased from Catholic High (\$1.00 per Year) 1973	Baseball Adult Swing B.M		\$488.00	\$11,736.00	0

under the present system and why we are county wide, if you don't mind.

*County Attorney Lott*

Under the present Constitution, which was amended in 1969, a charter can't change the manner of electing county commissioners. The previous Constitution provided that commissioners be elected by electors of the County. Up until 1954, the practice was for commissioners to be elected by district. In 1954, the Supreme Court of Florida held that election by district violated the right to vote of people who were in effect ruled by commissioners, but didn't get to vote on all of them. For that reason, every since 1954 and pursuant to that Court Order, commissioners have been elected county wide.

*Mrs. Ruby Gainer, Ph.D., 1516 W. Gadsden Street, Pensacola, Florida*

Who is my County Commissioner?

*Chairman Kelson*

That would be me.

*Dr. Gainer*

Well, I have the same complaint also, but I would like to know if there are any safeguards in here whereas a minority may have a chance to be elected, and may have an opportunity to represent all the people the same as others. As I look on the platform tonight, I know I'd certainly feel better if I would at least see one image of someone — I see someone running around — you know, just running around seeing about the mikes or something, but really in the political government, in the set up, what safeguard could you give to minorities that they too would have active participation in this type government? And the second question, while I'm here I will just ask the other one — in

what relationship would the City Council have? Would it be completely eliminated? Would it be in a cooperative measure, or how would it fit in with this type charter?

*County Attorney Lott*

On your question about the City Council, the Charter only applies to the County form of government. Section 105 of the Charter expressly provides that there can be no consolidation of government with the City unless it is expressly approved by a vote of the people both within the City and outside the City. There is also a provision in the Charter concerning the fact that an ordinance, which is in conflict with any ordinance the City Council adopts, will not prevail in the City to the extent of such conflict. So if the City passed an ordinance saying one thing, and the County passed an ordinance saying something else, within the City limits, the City ordinance would be the law.

*Dr. Gainer*

You didn't answer my first question.

*Chairman Kelson*

Let me try to answer Mrs. Gainer. Mrs. Gainer is talking about different people being elected. I gave up a seat on the City Council in 1970. Mr. Hollis Williams, the present City Councilman, was appointed to this job, and I don't believe Mr. Williams has had any trouble at all holding the job of City Councilman. In fact, if my memory serves me right, his first election — I think he beat three white people. It wasn't his own race, but white people. He beat them in the first primary very outstanding because he proved that he did a good job. The second time he run, I don't remember if he was unopposed. I know this last time he was unopposed. So, I think we need to get away from that really if this country is ever to become the greatest thing in the world.

*Dr. Gainer*

May I speak to your last point? This country can never get away from it as long as identification of the two groups, and some safeguards or measures must be made as long as we have the type of identities that we have. As much as I, too, would like to see us get away from it, but there is no need of us saying that we can get away from reality; that we can get away from the actual facts. Whereas you want to ignore it on one hand, you are not willing to ignore it on other hand. There are some positions in which blacks have never had an opportunity from Mayor on. I feel that not only a black could be a mayor in this place, the same as a woman or man could be. So as long as it suits to your advantage, and you have the advantage at this time because you have a majority, and it's very difficult when you have a minority, and until you bring up the level of all the people and their type of theories that you propose — every person in Escambia County be as liberal as you are and probably as intelligent as you may be. But when we continue to live in the climate with the type of image that we have, we have to consider the truth of the matter.

*Commissioner Deese*

Mrs. Gainer, let met respond to some of the remarks that you made, please.

*Dr. Gainer*

Yes

*Commissioner Deese*

In the Democrat society, the majority rules. Those who vote cast the vote for or against. The person who wins the most votes in the winner. The person who loses is the one who gets the lesser number of votes. We can't dictate that each person who goes to the polls must vote for any certain person. This would be undemocratic. So therefore, I

think it should be known in a democratic society that everyone has a chance according to the way he brings it across to the people. If he wins the people's interest, then they will, of course, vote for him. I think everyone has a chance at the polls. There are no restrictions at the polls because of race, creed, color, or anything. There are no restrictions there.

*Executive Director Joe Mooney*

Mr. Chairman, Mrs. Gainer, uh. I think the point you made... that it is real important in terms of identification for people, particularly for younger people, that they have their own idols to look up to and people that are leaders, that are identified as such. I think it would be unfortunate... I didn't want you to go away with the understanding that one of the county's four department heads, Mr. Wilson, the black gentleman you saw fixing the mikes and who is in charge of personnel, administration, planning, community development, is somebody that has no influence in the system or has a job of a menial nature. He is one of the four department heads and I just wanted to clear that up, both for your benefit, and I think in all fairness to Mr. Wilson. He is a major department head, and one of four in the county. He has a substantial budget and has substantial areas. He is the Chief of Administration for this County, and I just wanted to bring that out — not to debate your other point, because I do think it is quite important.

*Dr. Gainer*

Why don't you bring him up on the stage sometime?

*Mr. Mooney*

He was on the stage until today, and it was his choice not to be here. But not to debate that point. But there are four department heads and Mr. Wilson is one of those four

department heads and currently 3 people serve in positions as department heads, and Mr. Wilson is one of 3. I think that that image is quite important and I think that people should be recognized for the position that they serve in.

*Mr. Crosswright*

Mr. Chairman, I would like to speak to what you said concerning Mr. Hollis Williams. He is a very dear friend of mine and has been for the past 20 years. He is a very fine man. I understand this, but what we are talking about here in this election of one man-one vote situation is not the democrat situation as you speak of here because we as black people did not choose Mr. Hollis Williams. This was the choice of your people. We want a situation where we can choose a black person, and this hasn't been done in this county... Mr. Hollis is fine. We are glad to have him. We would like to choose one — We are glad you made a choice of him, but let us choose one too. This is what we want. This is the democratic way.

*Chairman Kelson*

I didn't choose him myself you know. I wasn't there.

*Mr. Crosswright*

Well, the white people made the choice. We didn't... the blacks didn't.

*Chairman Kelson*

Well, he's been elected three times in a row, so somebody elected him.

*Mr. Crosswright*

When it's county wide, you can elect anyone who wish.

*Chairman Kelson*

He doesn't run in the county. He was elected in the city.

*Mr. Crosswright*

Well, City wide. What's the difference?



*Chairman Kelson*

Well, I could debate a lot of that, but I won't get into it.

- - - - -

*Mr. George Price, 1828 North "B" Street, Pensacola, Florida (Mr. Price arrived later in the meeting)*

Has anyone spoken in opposition to elections being held county wide?

*Chairman Kelson*

Yes, sir.

*Mr. Price*

Good

STATE OF FLORIDA,

COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA.

I, JOE A. FLOWERS, COMPTROLLER, and ex-officio Clerk to the Board of County Commissioners in and for the County and State aforesaid, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a verbatim excerpt from the Public Hearing of the Board of County Commissioners on the 31st day of August, 1977.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and official seal this 9th day of September, 1977.

JOE A. FLOWERS, COMPTROLLER  
AND EX-OFFICIO CLERK TO THE  
BOARD OF COUNTY  
COMMISSIONERS  
OF ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA

BY /s/ Jean Murrer  
Deputy Clerk

# EXHIBIT 80

## 1973-77 Escambia County, City of Pensacola EEO-4 Summary Job Classification and Salary Analyses 1977 Escambia County, City of Pensacola EEO-4 Summary Job Classification Analysis

	Financial Administration		Streets & Highways		Police Protection		Park & Recreation		Hospitals & Sanatoriums		Housing		Community Development		Corrections		Utilities & Transportation		Sanitation & Sewage		Other		Total		% Black
	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	
Service Mainten.	22	4	36*	14	5	9	6	4	1	27	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	8*	3	4	16	84	77	47
Skilled Craft	9	-	59	3	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	82	6	7
Clerical	201*	7	6	-	55*	-	2	-	2	-	3	-	2	-	-	-	1	-	3	-	1	-	276	7	2
Para- Profession	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	13*	35	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	26	-	40	37	48
Protective Service	2	-	-	-	119	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	145	8	5
Technician	43	2	20*	-	40	1	1	-	12	8	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	10	-	138	11	7
Professional	43*	10	-	-	7	-	-	-	7	-	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	63	10	14
Officials Admin.	8*	1	2	-	-	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	15	1	6
Total	329	25	123	17	228	16	13	4	36	70	6	-	7	-	28	1	2	-	30	6	41	16	843	157	15.7%

\*Includes other races

# 1977 Escambia County City of Pensacola EEO-4 Summary Salary Analysis

	Financial Administration		Streets & Highways		Police Protection		Hospital & Sanatoriums		Housing		Community Development		Corrections		Utilities & Transportation		Sanitation & Sewage		Park & Recreation		Other (Custodial Ser. Emergency Ser. CEPA)		Total		% Black
	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	
0.1 - 3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
4.0 - 3.9	33	3	5	1	9	1	16*	18	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4*	1	1	2	-	-	60	26	27
6.0 - 7.9	120*	6	45*	15	23*	9	9	46	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	7	3	3	13	6	4	215	96	31
8.0 - 9.9	70*	7	50*	1	32	1	3	6	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	15	2	31	-	3	-	208	18	8
10.0 - 12.9	66*	5	16	-	79	6	7	-	3	-	2	-	25	-	-	-	3	-	6	1	2	-	209	12	5
13.0 - 15.9	21	1	4	-	78	1	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	107	2	2
16.0 - 24.9	19	3	2	-	7	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	2	-	33	3	8
25.0 - Plus	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
Total	329	25	123	17	228	18	36	70	6	-	7	-	28	1	2	-	30	6	41	16	13	4	843	157	15.7%

\*Includes other races

# 1975 Escambia County EEO-4 Summary Job Classification Analysis

	Financial Administration		Streets & Highways		Police Protection		Natural Resources		Hospitals & Sanitoriums		Health		Housing		Community Development		Corrections		Utilities & Transportation		Sanitation & Sewage		Emergency Services		Total		% Black
	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	
Officials Admin.	12	8	2	0	1	0	1	0	-	-	1	0	-	-	1	0	2	0	-	-	1	0	-	-	21	8	
Professionals	2	0	1	0	25	0	-	-	-	-	1	0	-	-	4	1	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	2	
Technicians	29	1	46	10	29	0	1	0	7	8	2	0	4	0	1	0	-	-	-	-	3	0	-	-	122	19	
Protective Service	8	0	-	-	139	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	181	12	
Para- Professional	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0	12	36	-	-	-	-	0	1	2	0	-	-	-	-	36	0	51	37	
Office Clerical	81	0	5	0	34	1	2	0	2	0	-	-	3	4	2	0	3	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	136	5	
Skilled Craft	-	-	-	-	3	0	-	-	-	-	1	0	9	3	-	-	1	0	-	-	11	1	-	-	25	4	
Service Maintenance	4	15	-	-	8	26	1	2	4	20	3	0	8	4	-	-	35	2	8	0	1	2	-	-	72	71	
	136	24	54	10	239	39	6	2	25	64	8	0	24	11	8	2	77	3	10	0	17	3	37	0	641	158	

# 1975 Escambia County EEO-4 Summary Salary Analysis

	Financial Administration		Streets & Highways		Police Protection		Natural Resources		Hospital & Sanitoriums		Health		Housing		Community Development		Corrections		Utilities & Transportation		Sanitation & Sewage		Emergency Services		Total		% Black
	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	
0 - 5.9	0	6			12	14			6	13			2	0			3	1			1	2			24	36	
6.0 - 7.9	5	10			24	13	2	0	17	44	3	0	4	7	1	0	28	1	3	0			18	0	105	75	
8.0 - 9.9	60	5			32	0	2	2	2	7	3	0	8	4	2	1	18	1	7	0	12	1	18	0	164	21	
10.0 - 24.9	71	3			171	12	2	0			2	0	10	0	5	1	28	0			4	0	1	0	294	16	
Total	136	24			239	39	6	2	25	64	8	0	24	11	7	2	77	3	10	0	17	3	37	0	587	148	

# 1974 Escambia County City EEO-4 Summary Job Classification Analysis

	Financial Administration		Streets & Highways		Public Welfare		Hospital Sanitorium		Hospital Sanitorium		Health		Housing		Community Development		Corrections		Utilities & Transportation		Sanitation & Sewage		Total		% Black
	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	
Officials																									
Administrative	20	0	5	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	30	0	
Professionals	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	19	0	
Technicians	9	1	3	0	0	0	13	22	5	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	32	34	0
Protective																									
Service	1	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	32	1	
Para-																									
Professionals	17	0	1	0	2	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	31	1	
Office																									
Clerical	88	2	4	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	106	2	
Skilled																									
Craft	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	7	2	47	4	
Service																									
Maintenance	0	0	27	1	0	0	50	20	13	62	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	9	3	45	0	199	87	
Total	143	3	99	1	6	1	77	43	30	74	7	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	24	3	108	3	495	129	

# 1974 Escambia County EEO-4 Summary Salary Analysis

	Financial Administration		Streets & Highways		Public Welfare		Hospital Sanitorium		Hospital Sanitorium		Health		Housing		Community Development		Corrections		Utilities & Transportation		Sanitation & Sewage		Total		% Black
	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	
																	1	0					128	86	
0 - 5.9	36	1	24	1	2	0	49	21	16	63									10	3	95	0	225	38	
6.0 - 7.9	54	1	29	0	2	0	23	22	9	11	3	1													
8.0 - 9.9	29	1	39	0	1	0	3	0	2	0	2	0							4	0	11	2	92	3	
10.0 - 24.9	24	0	7	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	2	0							10	0	2	1	50	2	
Total	143	3	99	1	6	1	77	43	30	74	7	1					1	0	24	3	108	3	495	129	

1973  
Escambia County  
EEO-4 Summary  
JOB CLASSIFICATION ANALYSIS

	FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION		STREETS & HIGHWAYS		POLICE PROTECTION		NATURAL RESOURCES		HEALTH		COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT		CORRECTIONS		SANITATION & SEWAGE		UNCLASSIFIED NOT UNDER CIVIL SERVICE				TOTAL		% BLACK
	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B			W	B	
Officials																							
Administration	2	0	1	0	23	0	1	0	5	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	13	0			47	3	
Professionals	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	96	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	11	0			117	1	
Technicians	21	1	12	0	0	0	0	0	83	45	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0			121	46	
Protective Service	9	1	31	0	70	1	0	0	26	53	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0			142	55	
Para- Professionals	17	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	20	50	0	0	1	2	1	0	5	0			47	52	
Office Clerical	133	5	4	1	30	4	1	0	61	2	1	0	1	0	6	0	15	0			252	12	
Skilled Craft	11	0	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	2	0	0			68	2		
Service Maintenance	7	21	33	1	0	0	1	1	32	79	0	0	0	1	17	6	0	0			90	103	
TOTAL	208	28	130	2	123	5	4	1	323	233	3	0	2	3	47	8	44	0			884	274	



1973  
Escambia County  
EEO-4 Summary  
SALARY ANALYSIS

	FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION		STREETS & HIGHWAYS		POLICE PROTECTION		NATURAL RESOURCES		HEALTH		COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT		CORRECTIONS		SANITATION & SEWAGE		UNCLASSIFIED NOT UNDER CIVIL SERVICE			TOTAL		BLACK
	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B		W	B	
0 - 5.9	77	26	38	2	29	4	2	1	148	167	1	0	0	1	21	6	11	0		327	207	
6.9 - 7.9	84	2	79	0	32	0	1	0	68	27	1	0	1	3	19	2	7	0		292	34	
8.0 - 9.9	39	0	9	0	87	3	-	-	68	2		1	1	3	0	8	0			215	6	
10.0 - 24.9	8	0	4	0	24	0	1	0	37	0	1	0		3	0	18	0			96	0	
TOTAL	208	28	130	2	172	7	4	1	321	196	3	0	2	5	46	8	44	0		930	247	

# 1976 Escambia County EEO-4 Summary Job Classification Analysis

		Financial Administration		Streets & Highways		Police Protection		Natural Resources		Housing		Community Development		Corrections		Utilities & Transportation		Sanitation & Sewage		Nursing Home		Emergency Services		Total		% Black
W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	W	B	
0 - 5.9		36	13	16	7	11	2	0	0	0	0	-	-	1	1	-	-	0	1	5	21	-	-	69	45	
6.0 -		126	21	38	3	17	4	2	2	1	0	1	0	-	-	1	0	3	2	17	54	6	0	212	86	
8.0 - 9.9		79	10	24	0	37	1	1	0	-	-	2	1	2	0	1	0	14	5	2	7	27	0	189	24	
10.0 - 24.9		94	8	13	0	153	4	1	0	2	0	5	0	28	1	1	0	3	0	1	0	3	0	304	13	
Total		335	52	91	10	218	11	4	2	3	0	8	1	31	2	3	0	20	8	25	82	36	0	774	168	

**PLAINTIFF EXHIBIT No. 92**  
(PENSACOLA NEWS JOURNAL, AUGUST 23, 1959)

**INTEGRATION IS FORCED ON MAJORITY**

With the beginning of another school year and the renewed battle of segregation vs. non-segregation I wish to express my opinion. I defy anyone that says integration is the wish of the majority of the people. There is a name for a few who try to force their wishes on the majority no matter what their reasons are. I and any sensible person know that for whatever reason they might have. It isn't for the good of humanity or any one color or race of people. It is for money or power politically or otherwise.

I know that if they were thinking of humanity they would realize that God made us all whether we are black, white, red or yellow. If he had wanted only one he could have had that. He made one of every living creature and gave each a nature of his own. Each one of us should do in a way to be proud of who we are regardless of our color.

The law might force people together, but no one can change the fact that it was force not acceptance. Can a government look out for a country as a whole if its National Guards and troops have to stand by to force the people to stay at peace with one another. The saying goes a divided nation can't stand and this is such. People always have and always will resent being forced to do something against their will.

If true integration ever comes it will be from the heart not by force.

**MRS. EVA DIAMOND**  
1794 North Kirk St.

**PLAINTIFF EXHIBIT No. 95**  
**(THE PENSACOLA JOURNAL, AUGUST 13, 1959)**

**FAIR USE ONLY VALIDATES  
PUPIL PLACEMENT STATUTE**

Ruling of a federal judge in Tampa that Negro pupils must make application to Tampa schools under the pupil placement law procedure instead of first taking their cases to court was another indication of the inherent validity of the Florida statute, which was strengthened at the last session of the Legislature.

However, J. Lewis Hall, Tallahassee, former member of the Fabisinski Committee on racial relations and president of the Florida Bar, has warned that the law must be used fairly and justly and not as a subterfuge if it is to remain valid.

In other words, as Hall said soon after the law was enacted, it is not an absolute bar to all integration. If it is used as such, it can be held invalid by the U.S. Supreme Court. If it permits some integration, while barring others upon substantial grounds as set forth in the law, then it most likely will be held constitutional.

This is where the split comes between extremist segregationists who do not want even token mixing in schools and so-called moderates who think a little mixing is better than "massive resistance" followed by "mass integration" on Court order.

Regardless of opinion on desegregation, many have come to realize that it cannot be prevented if the public school system is to be maintained; and sentiment in the last Legislature showed that those in control preferred preservation of public schools with token compliance under the Pupil Placement Act to futile attempts to prevent any

desegregation with the consequent wrecking of public schools as followed in Virginia and Arkansas.

Both states since have reopened schools to Negro pupils, though one Virginia County has preferred to close its public schools.

As the new school term nears, decisions will have to be made in several counties, including Escambia, as to whether the pupil placement law is to be used carefully and legally, or as a ban against any desegregation. Upon those decisions probably will rest the validity of the law in practice, for up to now it has only been held valid on its face.

That is why Governor Collins said recently he expected some integration in Florida in the next school year.